REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES IS SUPPLYING REPORTS AND STATISTICS ON WELFARE REFORM TO FULFILL THE REQUIREMENTS OF SENATE BILL 104.

FROM SENATE BILL 104:

"[The department shall] publish an annual report and such interim reports as may be necessary. The annual report and such interim reports shall be provided to the Governor and members of the General Assembly and contain the following:

- A. The total TANF caseload count;
- B. Quarterly and annual TANF reports, in full, prepared for submission to the federal government;
- C. The percentage of the TANF caseload and the number of individuals given a hardship exemption from the lifetime limit on cash assistance and a categorization for such exemption;
- D. The number of individuals who received transportation assistance and the cost of such assistance;
- E. The number of individuals who received diversionary assistance in order to prevent their requiring TANF assistance and the categories of such diversionary assistance, and job acceptance and retention statistics;
- F. The number of individuals denied assistance due to a serious violent felony conviction;
- G. The number of mothers under 19 years of age who received assistance and their percentage of the total TANF caseload;
- H. Number of children receiving subsidized child care and the total and average per recipient cost per child;
- I. Data on teen pregnancy prevention;
- J. The number of families sanctioned;
- K. The number of legal immigrants receiving TANF benefits by category of immigration status;
- L. The number of families no longer eligible because of time limits;
- M. Follow-up information on job retention and earnings; and
- N. An evaluation of the effect of Code Section 49-4-186 on the number of births to TANF recipient families.

The information required under this paragraph shall be provided on a county-by-county basis where feasible.

- Prevent teen pregnancies; and
- Encourage the formation and maintenance of two-parent families.

PRWORA established mandatory work and job training participation rates for recipients and enacted time limits for the receipt of TANF benefits. Moreover, PRWORA afforded states greater flexibility in the design and implementation of the TANF program and provided each state a block grant to fund its TANF program. State Maintenance of Effort (MOE) spending levels were mandated so that states would maintain levels of spending consistent with previous spending for AFDC.

In the fall of 1996, Georgia submitted a state plan for administering the TANF program. Following a 45-day federal review period and a series of public hearings, the plan was accepted by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) and Georgia was approved to receive its share of the TANF block grant. The first TANF checks were issued on January 1, 1997.

In past years, the most important aspect of a caseworker's job was issuing welfare benefits. With the 1996 introduction of WorkFirst! the emphasis shifted to helping recipients find work. During SFY 2005 and 2006, Georgia has focused on training the case managers (formerly called case workers) to assist TANF recipients in maintaining employment as well as seeking career advancement. Customers are not just expected to get a job but to keep the job, seek advancement and earn enough money to leave the welfare rolls. As a result, our TANF caseloads are greatly reduced.


New Developments

In October 2004, Georgia initiated a new service delivery strategy for TANF called TANF = WORK NOW. This strategy focuses on 3 elements: (1) Education-TANF Clients are educated at the point of application on all the goals and requirements of the TANF program. (2) Engagement-TANF clients with a work requirement are engaged in work activities within 7 days of the approval of their application. (3) Monitoring-TANF clients are monitored consistently and frequently to ensure adherence to program requirements. This concept yielded a significant decrease in the number of Total TANF Cases, in particular the number of Adult Cases on TANF. In SFY07, the monthly average of adult cases was 4,080.

In April 2006, Georgia took TANF = Work Now a step further by focusing on values and beliefs that under gird our work and assist in strengthening Georgia's families. New policies were implemented and case manages were trained on the principles of case management. The values and beliefs that we espouse are:

- Welfare is not good enough for any family.
- Government cannot and should not take the place of family.

TANF CASELOADS From SFY 1995 to 2007



In SFY 1997 Georgia had 114,154 cases on its TANF rolls. We are happy to report that as of June 2007, Georgia had 24,169 total TANF cases. This represents a 77% reduction in our rolls, over the past ten years.

Work Supports

In addition to partnerships with other agencies, the availability of work supports was critical to the success of TANF self-sufficiency efforts. In order to secure and maintain employment, many families required assistance with transportation, childcare, and medical expenses, as well as assistance in obtaining child support.

In SFY 2006, Georgia implemented new policies, which provided its TANF recipients with two new work support services. They were Employment Intervention Services (EIS) and Transitional Support Services (TSS). EIS is non-recurrent, short-term assistance that is equivalent to four times the maximum grant amount for the AU size. The availability of the EIS must be discussed with all clients at application. EIS is available only once in a client's lifetime. TSS assistance provides transportation, childcare and various incidentals (occupational licensing fees, special work boots, clothing, tools, etc.) to allow TANF applicants and recipients to accept and/or maintain employment. Applicants who are employed or become employed but remain eligible for TANF cash assistance have an option to choose between EIS or TSS. They cannot receive both. TSS is available only once per 24-calendar-month period.

incidentals; while the TSS provides transportation, childcare and various incidentals needed to maintain employment; and the job coaches provide support, information and monitoring that will allow clients an opportunity to remain steadily employed and achieve job enhancement skills that may lead to career advancement. The Work Support Program is available to former TANF families for a period of twelve (12) months and twice in their lifetime receipt of TANF.

.

WSP

The Work Support Payments are paid through a two-tiered process:

- During the first six months, the former TANF family can receive a cash supplement of \$200.00 per month. The family will also be eligible to receive transitional support services for this initial six-month period.
- During the second six months, the former TANF family can receive a cash supplement of \$100.00 per month. The family will no longer be eligible to receive transitional support services during this time.

The AU that remains eligible for a reduced TANF check must be given an option to choose between TANF and the WSP.

Transportation

Transportation expenditures greatly increased with the implementation of TANF and subsequently decreased as the number of TANF cases declined. GDHR/DFCS offers two transportation work supports options: direct payment to applicants and clients and transportation services through GDHR's Consolidated Transportation System. Although funds were available for transportation expenses, transportation services were not readily available, particularly in rural areas. In SFY 2000, GDHR/DFCS collaborated with the Georgia Office of Facilities and Support Services to expand the Consolidated Transportation System. TANF funds invested in this initiative have increased from \$345,000 in SFY 00 to \$8,732,189 in SFY 2007. TANF funds paid directly to applicants and clients totaled \$1,865,094 in SFY 2007.

Childcare

Affordable, quality childcare was also essential to the success of individuals going to work. The number of payments for children in subsidized childcare increased from approximately 58,099 per month in SFY 1997 to average 100,828 per month in SFY 2007. In addition, expenditures in the program increased from \$115,999,169 in SFY 97 to \$190,608,882 in SFY 2007. Childcare continues to be one of the largest programs managed by caseworkers at the county level.


Medicaid

Many TANF recipients beginning first-time employment were either unable to afford the medical insurance made available to them by their employers or found that few employers offered the option of medical insurance. The combination of Low Income Medicaid (LIM), Transitional Medical Assistance (TMA), and Right from the Start Medicaid (RSM) or PeachCare for Kids (PCK) provided and continues to provide this necessary coverage. The 12-month continuation of Medicaid in the form of Transitional Medical Assistance (TMA) provides necessary medical coverage for families who become ineligible for LIM as a result of new or increased earned income. Once TMA ends, the children of most families are eligible for additional coverage through RSM or PCK. Currently 579,952 Georgia families receive Medicaid through LIM, TMA, and RSM. This was in June of 2007.

Child Support

Insufficient child support prevented many single parents from attaining economic self-sufficiency. Increased collections by the Child Support Enforcement (CSE) program are helping families receive more of the child support owed to them.

Increasing Success in Collecting Child Support



Additionally, Georgia's Fatherhood Initiative has been expanded throughout the state. The Department of Technical and Adult Education (DTAE) has taken the lead in this

Hardship Waivers

Some families will require additional time to prepare for work and some may never be fully self-sufficient. For these families, Georgia has established a hardship waiver policy that is approved on a case-by-case basis. The waiver of the lifetime limit allows for temporary extensions of TANF to families experiencing additional barriers.

The hardship waiver policy was revised in April 2006. There are now three hardship criteria for case managers to use to evaluate each family's situation.

- 1. A family member is a victim of domestic violence or has been threatened.
- 2. The family has an open child protective services case.
- 3. The disability of the grantee relative, other eligible adult or a household member is a barrier to employment for the grantee relative or other eligible adults.

Other forms of work supports, including Food Stamps, Medicaid, shelter and utility assistance, are available to families that do not meet any of the hardship criteria.

Georgia's TANF caseload totaled 50,996 cases in December 2000 when the first 1,446 families reached the 48-month time limit. Of those families, 1,094 met a hardship criterion and were eligible for extended TANF benefits through hardship waivers. Since December 2000, the number of families reaching the 48-month time limit has decreased.

In December 2001 yet another milestone in the TANF program was reached when 311 Georgia TANF recipients reached the federally imposed 60-month TANF lifetime limit. Of these 311 recipients, 280 continued to be eligible under a hardship extension. As with the 48-month time limit, the number of families reaching the 60-month federal lifetime limit has decreased. In June 2004, there were 1,102 families receiving a hardship extension, but in June 2005 there were only 230 families receiving extensions. In June of 2006, 49 families received an extension and currently in June 2007, Georgia has only 19 families who are receiving a hardship extension.

8,957 Health and Community referrals

In SFY 2007, these centers provided adolescent public health services, health education programs, and support services to 37,353 youth and their families. The ages of the youth served were: 10-14 (15,391), 15- 17 (13,856), and 18-19 (8,106). The services provided were:

- 37,879 Comprehensive health services
- 14,441 Individual health screenings
- 1,805 Well Child check-ups and
- 6.053 Health and Community referrals


Georgia's Teen Center prevention and education programs are based on youth development principles and use best practice approaches to promote academic achievement, healthy self-esteem, and life skills training among Georgia's youth. Collaboration with parents, local agencies, and community partners, support this imitative by serving on teen center advisory councils, serving as volunteers, providing training, and quality assurance. We've proven that we can make a difference in reducing teen pregnancy rates. If our state is able to sustain our progress, we will not only improve the well being of our children, families and communities, but we will also reduce the burden on taxpayers.

More information about *Births to Teenagers in Georgia* is available at http://dhr.georgia.gov (click About Us, Fact sheet index). For more information about Georgia's Adolescent Health and Youth Development programs, call 404-657-8377 or visit http://health.state.ga.us/programs/adolescent/. To access teen pregnancy rates by health district or county for years 1994 through 2004, visit the OASIS (Online Analytical Statistical Information System) web query tool at http://oasis.state.ga.us/oasis/qryMCH.aspx.

DHR Youth Initiative

In November 2005 the Department launched the Youth Initiative in order to expand outof-school services available to school age youth. They recognized that youth development practices delivered in after school and summer program settings can play a critical role in motivating youth to achieve success not only in schools, but also in their personal lives. These out of school services also contribute to our efforts in reducing teen pregnancy.

In SFY '06 over \$13 million dollars in TANF and state funding were used to expand summer and afterschool programs for children ages 6-19. Funds were distributed to community-based organizations and public schools. The awards ranged from \$28,000 to \$800,000 to over \$6 million for the GA Alliance of Boys and Girls Clubs, Inc. statewide. Through a pilot program with the Department's Division of Mental Health, 5,300 youth people with severe emotional difficulty and behavior disorders took part in



TOTAL TANF CASELOAD COUNT State Fiscal Year 2007

		I	
	AVERAGE MONTHLY	AVERAGE MONTHLY	AVERAGE MONTHLY
COUNTY	ADULTS	CHILDREN	CASES
APPLING		109	72
ATKINSON	0	46	31
BACON	0	57	42
BAKER	0	23	17
BALDWIN	26	258	163
BANKS	4	55	37
BARROW BARTOW	2	179	110
BEN HILL	4	357	218
BERRIEN	0	151	94
BIBB	0 66	88	53
BLECKLEY	11	4,325 80	7710
BRANTLEY	0	61	49 43
BROOKS	0	99	43 62
BRYAN	2	51	35
BULLOCH	27	331	212
BURKE	23	226	135
BUTTS	7	81	54
CALHOUN	3	50	29
CAMDEN	7	118	75
CANDLER	7	102	59
CARROLL	32	602	376
CATOOSA	2	136	89
CHARLTON	0	51	30
CHATHAM CHATTAHOOCHEE	71	890	549
CHATTOOGA	2	32	22
CHEROKEE	E	98	66
CLARKE	5 47	169 4 64	109
CLAY	6	404 50	272 34
GLAYTON	159.1	1,863	34 1,095
CLINCH	0	54	31
COBB	159	1.572	893
COFFEE	0	214	137
COLQUITT	7	264	164
COLUMBIA	3	170	114
COOK	3	81	59
COWETA	8	420	252
CRAWFORD	3,	78	48
CRISP	7	151	94
DADE	0 0	-23	16
DAWSON	5	41	26
DECATUR	3 7	232	144
DEKALB	595	3,745	2,198
DODGE	7 8	166	96
DOOLY	9	76	45

TOTAL TANF CASELOAD COUNT State Fiscal Year 2007

11.15

NEWTON 34 619 2933 34 34 37 22 22 23 37 37 22 24 37 37 38 38 38 38 38 38				
COUNTY		AVERAGE MONTHLY	AVERAGE MONTHLY	AVERACE MONTHLY
BUPPKIN 6	COUNTY	1	1	1
MACON 1		6	64	
MARION	1	M. 165. La region de la compartación de la comparta	63	THE PARTY OF THE P
MCINITOSH 4	NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY OF TAXABLE PARTY OF TAXABLE PARTY.	A STATE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 2 IN	135, 7	86
MCINTOSH 4				
MILLER			The state of the s	108
MILLER 1 38 27 MITCHELL 0 150 119 MONTGOMERY 8 61 40 MONTGOMERY 8 61 40 MORGAN 2 118 79 MUSCOGEE 295 1,664 992 MEVTON 34 519 293 OCONEE 1 37 22 GELETHORPE 2 61 39 PAULDING 7 237 146 PEACH 27 208 127 PICKENS 2 54 37 PIERCE 6 67 47 PICKENS 2 54 37 PIERCE 6 67 47 POLK 11 792 42 POLK 11 792 42 POLK 11 792 119 PULASKI 22 97 59 PUTNAM 15 13				
MITCHEL 0 180 119 11		A STATE OF THE PERSON NAMED OF THE PERSON NAME	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T	
MONROE 5				
MONIGOMERY B B B B B B B B B			The second secon	
MORGAN 2 118 79 MURRAY 2 132 38 MUSCOGE 295 1,664 992 NEWTON 34 519 293 OCONEE 1 37 22 GELETHORPE 2 61 38 PAULDING 7 237 146 PEACH 27 208 127 PICKENS 2 54 37 PICKENS 2 5				
MURRAY 2 132 88 MUSCOGEE 295 1,664 992 NEWTON 34 519 293 OCONEE 1 37 22 ØGLETHORPE 2 61 89 PAULDING 7 237 146 PEACH 27 208 127 PICKENS 2 54 37 PIERCE 6 6 67 POLK 11 192 119 PULASKI 22 97 59 PUTNAM 16 118 75 QUITMAN 1 28 17 RANDOLPH 15 112 64 RIGHMOND 240 1,714 RIGHMOND 240 1,714 SCREVEN 9 170 98 STEWART 5 35 29 SIMINGE 1 76 48 STEWART 5 35 29 SIMINGE 6 68 ALBOT 12 68 ALBOT 142 87 AVIOR 8 155 SELFAIR 3 106 60 ERRELL 0 106° 67 HOMAS 8 226 152 ITT		A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR		
MUSCOGEE 295 1,664 992 NEWTON 34 519 293 OCONEE 1 37 22 9GLETHORPE 2 61 39 PAULDING 7 237 146 PEACH 27 208 127 PICKENS 2 54 37 PICKENS 2 97 47 PICKENS 2 97 59 PULLASKI 11 192 119 PULLASKI 12 97 59 PULTASKI 12 64 118 QUITMAN 1 28 17 RABUN 1		and the second s		
NEWTON 34 519 239 OCONEE 1 37 22 GGLETHORPE 2 61 39 PAULDING 7 237 146 PEACH 2/ 208 127 PICKENS 2 54 37 PIKE 3 72 42 POLK 11 192 119 PULASKI 22 97 59 PULASKI 22 97 59 PUTMAM 15 118 75 QUITMAN 1 28 17 RANDOLPH 15 112 64 ROCKDALE 15 354 217 SGREVEN 9 170 98 SERVEN 9 170 9	MUSCOGEE	THE OWNER TO A SECURE AND ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE	to the property of the control of th	
OCONEE 1 37 22 OGLITHORPE 2 61 39 PAULDING 7 237 146 PEACH 27 208 127 PICKENS 2 54 37 PIERCE 8 67 47 PIKE 3 72 42 POLIK 14 192 119 PULASKI 22 97 59 PUTNAM 15 118 75 QUITMAN 1 28 17 RABUN 1 28 17 RANDOLPH 15 112 64 ROCKDALE 15 354 217 SCHLEY 1 15 11 SCHLEY 1 15 11 SCHLEY 1 15 11 SCHLEY 1 15 11 SCHLEY 1 76 48 SPALDING 38 468	NEWTON			
GOLE THORPE 2 61 39 PAULDING 7 237 146 PEFACH 27 208 127 PICKENS 2 54 37 PIERCE 6 67 47 PIKE 3 72 42 POLK 11 192 119 PULASKI 22 97 59 PUTNAM 15 118 75 QUITMAN 1 28 17 RABUN 1 26 17 RABUNOLPH 15 112 64 RICHIMOND 240 1,714 1,029 ROCKDALE 15 354 217 SCREVEN 9 170 98 SEMINOLE 1 76 48 SPALDING 38 468 285 STEPHENS 16 160 98 STEWART 5 35 29 NIMTER 63	OCONEE	Company of the Compan		Particular production of the second state of the second se
PAULDING PEACH PEACH PICKENS 2 54 37 PIERCE B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B	@GLETHORPE	2		
PEACH PICKENS		7	237	
PIERCE			208	
PIKE 3 72 42 POLK 11 192 119 PULASKI 22 97 59 PUTNAM 15 118 75 QUITMAN 1 28 17 RABUN 1 26 17 RANDOLPH 15 112 64 RICHMOND 240 17/14 1,029 ROCKDALE 15 354 217 SCREVEN 9 170 98 SEMINOLE 1 176 48 SPALDING 38 468 285 STEWART 5 35 29 SUMMER 63 406 243 TALBOT 12 68 44 ALIAFERO 0 111 88 TATINALL 9 142 87 AVIJOR 8 106 60 ERRELL 10 106 67 HOMAS 8 126 POLICH 12 186 POLICH 13 106 POLICH 142 POLICH 142 POLICH 156 POLICH 157 POL			54	NAME AND PASSED BY A CONTRACTOR OF CONTRACTO
POLK POLK PULASKI PULA			67	47
PULASKI PULASKI PUTNAM PULASKI PUTNAM				
PUTNAM 15	Market Market State Committee of the Com	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE OWNER, THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE OWNER.	AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER
QUITMAN 1 28 17 RABUN 1 26 17 RANDOLPH 15 112 64 RICHMOND 240 1,714 1,029 ROCKDALE 15 354 217 SCHLEY 1 15 11 SCHLEY 1 15 11 SCREVEN 9 170 98 SEMINOLE 1 76 48 SPALDING 38 468 285 STEPHENS 16 160 98 STEWART 5 35 29 SUMTER 63 405 243 TALBOT 12 68 44 ALIAFERRO 0 11 8 TATTNALL 9 142 87 AYLOR 8 35 55 ELFAIR 3 106 60 ERRELL 0 106 67 HOMAS 8				
RABUN RANDOLPH 15 RANDOLPH 15 112 64 RCHMOND ROCKDALE 15 354 217 SCHLEY SCHLEY 15 SCREVEN 9 170 98 SEMINOLE 1 76 48 SPALDING 38 468 285 STEWART 5 35 35 29 SUMTER 63 406 243 TALBOT 12 68 44 ALIAFERRO 0 11 88 TATTNALL 9 142 87 AALOR 8 8 166 60 ERRELL 0 106 60 ERRELL 0 106 67 TOMBS		THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY O		A STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE
RANDOLPH RICHMOND ROCKDALE ROCKDALE SCHLEY SCHLEY SCHLEY SCHLEY SCREVEN SEMINOLE SPALDING SEMINOLE STEWART STEWART STALBOT ALIAFERRO STALTINALL STATTINALL		Market and the second s	the second secon	
RICHMOND ROCKDALE ROCKDALE SCHLEY SCREVEN SCREVEN SEMINOLE TO SEMI	RANDOLPH			100mm 200mm によって 自然のできない。 100mm 200mm 100mm 10
ROCKDALE SCHLEY SCREVEN SCREVEN 9 170 98 170 98 SEMINOLE 176 48 SPALDING 38 468 285 STEPHENS 16 160 98 STEWART 5 35 29 SUMTER 63 405 444 FALIAFERRO 0 11 88 TATTNALL 9 142 87 AVLOR ELFAIR 3 106 60 ERRELL HOMAS 8 217 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1	RICHMOND		the second secon	
SCHLEY SCREVEN 9 170 98 SEMINOLE 1776 48 SPALDING 38 468 285 STEPHENS 16 160 98 STEWART 5 35 29 SUMTER 63 405 405 44 ALIAFERRO 0 11 8 TATTNALL 9 142 87 AVLOR 8 ELFAIR 3 106 60 ERRELL HOMAS 8 226 152 17 186 186 120	ROCKDALE	And the state of t	A STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE OWNER.
SCREVEN 9 170 98 SEMINOLE 1 76 48 SPALDING 38 468 285 STEPHENS 16 160 98 STEWART 5 35 29 SUMTER 63 405 243 TALBOT 12 68 44 ALIAFERRO 0 11 8 TATTNALL 9 142 87 AYLOR 8 85 55 ELFAIR 3 106 60 ERRELL 0 106 67 HOMAS 8 226 152 IFT 1 186 120	SCHIEY			No. of the Control of
SEMINOLE 1 76 48 SPALDING 38 468 285 STEPHENS 16 160 98 STEWART 5 35 29 SUMTER 63 405 243 TALBOT 12 68 44 ALIAFERRO 0 11 8 TATTNALL 9 142 87 AYLOR 8 85 55 ELFAIR 3 106 60 ERRELL 0 106 67 HOMAS 8 226 152 11 186 120	SCREVEN	The second secon	The state of the s	
SPALDING 38 468 285 STEPHENS 16 160 98 STEWART 5 35 29 SUMTER 63 405 243 TALBOT 12 68 44 TALIAFERRO 0 11 8 TATTNALL 9 142 87 TATTNALL 9 142 87 TATTNALL 9 106 60 TERRELL 0 106 67 THOMAS 8 226 152 TOOMES 186 120 TOOMES 186 186 TOOMES 186 186 TOOMES 186 186 TOOMES 186 186 TOOMES 186 T				The State of the Control of the Cont
### STEPHENS 16	MATERIAL CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE PART	38	468	
STEWART 5 35 29 SUMTER 63 406 243 TALBOT 12 68 44 TALIAFERRO 0 11 8 TATTNALL 9 142 87 AYLOR 8 35 55 TELFAIR 3 106 60 TERRELL 0 106 67 THOMAS 8 226 152 TELFAIR 1 186 120	STEPHENS	16	160	REFERENCE FOR SUCCESSION PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE PART
TALBOT 12 68 44 ALIAFERRO 0 11 8 TATTNALL 9 142 87 AYLOR 8 85 55 ELFAIR 3 106 60 ERRELL 0 106 67 HOMAS 8 226 152	STEWART	5	35	CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF
TALBOT 12 68 44 ALIAFERRO 0 11 8 TATTNALL 9 142 87 AYLOR 8 35 55 ELFAIR 3 106 60 ERRELL 0 106 67 HOMAS 8 226 152 IFI 1 186 120	SUMTER	63	CONTRACTOR AND MODEL & COMPANIES OF A PARTY	THE PARTY OF THE P
ALIAFERRO 0 11 8 ATTNALL 9 142 87 AYLOR 8 85 55 ELFAIR 3 106 60 ERRELL 0 106 67 HOMAS 8 226 152	TALBOT	A STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF T	Secure and Application of the Control of the Contro	ALCOHOLOGY TO LATER MANAGEMENT OF THE TRANSPORT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT
FATTNALL 9 142 87 AYLOR 8 85 55 ELFAIR 3 106 60 ERRELL 0 106 67 HOMAS 8 226 152 IFI 1 186 120	TALIAFERRO	N. Belleville P. P. Constant Transfer of the Constant Property of the C		
AYLOR 8 85. 55 ELFAIR 3 106 60 ERRELL 0 106 67 HOMAS 8 226 152 IFI 1 186 120	TATTNALL	9	《四十二年》,《四十二年》,《四十二年》,《四十二年》	A STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE
ELFAIR 3 106 60 ERRELL 0 106 67 HOMAS 8 226 152 IFI 1 186 120	TAYLOR		The state of the s	
FRELL 0 106 67 HOMAS 8 226 152	TELFAIR		ののできないない。これは、これの日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O
HOMAS 8 226 152 FT 1 186 120		Ö	N. St. and Control of	
FT 1 186 120		8	The state of the s	ALL ALL ALL AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE
COVADC	The state of the s	1	186	COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE OWNER, AND ADDRESS OF
	TOOMBS	8	197	中国共享的企业的企业。在1967年1977年1978年1988年1988年1987年1987年1987年198

B. Quarterly and Annual TANF Reports, in Full, Prepared for Submission for the Federal Government

Reports submitted to the federal government during the State Fiscal Year include:

ACF-196 Temporary Assistance to Needy Families- Financial Report

ACF-199 TANF Data Report

TANF-ACF-DI-97-6 dated September 30, 1997 requires a computerized database containing disaggregated case and client record information, as specified in Section 411(a) of Public Law 104-193, "The Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act at 1996." No Aggregate paper reports are required.

Department of Health and Human Services Administration for Children and Families

STATE	FISCAL YEAR	CURRENT QTR. ENDED	NEVY OVE	
GEORGIA	2007		NEXT QTR. ENDING	ANNUAL RECONCILIATION
	FEDERAL FUNDS	June 30, 2007	December 31, 2007	[]YES [XXX] NO
	(A)	(B)	E FUNDS (C)	CONTINGENCY FUN FEDERAL SHARE AT FMAP RATE OF 61.97 % FY
	FEDERAL AWARDS & TRANSFERS			EEDERAL AWARDS
I. AWARDED	\$282,962,421			FEDERAL AWARDS
Z. TRANSFERRED TO CCDF DISCRETIONARY	\$29,700,000			
TRANSFERRED TO SSBG	\$22,000,000			
. ADJUSTED SFAG	\$231,262,421			
EVDENDITURE CATEGORIES	FEDERAL TANF	STATE MOE	MOE EXPENDITURES IN SEPARATE	FEDERAL
EXPENDITURE CATEGORIES	EXPENDITURES	EXPENDITURES IN TAN	F STATE PROGRAMS	EXPENDITURES
a. BASIC ASSISTANCE	•	\$	\$	\$.
b. CHILD CARE	\$0	\$2,966,063	\$2,406,334	\$
c. TRANSPORTATION AND OTHER SS		\$22,229,130	\$	\$
d. ASSISTANCE AUTHORIZED SOLELY UNDER PRIOR LA	\$6,263,507	\$2,244,600	\$	\$
EXPENDITURES ON NON-ASSISTANCE	10	Single	5	\$
a. WORK RELATED ACTIVITIES/EXPENSES	422 522 522	iS	\$	\$
1. WORK SUBSIDIES	\$33,793,777	\$8,556,566	\$0	\$0
2. EDUCATION AND TRAINING		\$	\$	\$
3. OTHER WORK ACTIVITIES/EXPENSES	\$579,192 \$33,214,585	\$2,838,788	\$	\$
b. CHILD CARE	\$ \$	\$5,717,778	\$	\$
c. TRANSPORTATION	\$0	2552 100		\$
1. JOB ACCESS	\$	\$553,188	\$0	\$0
2. OTHER	\$			\$
d. INDIVIDUAL DEVELOPMENT ACCOUNTS	\$	\$553,188		\$
e. REFUNDABLE EARNED INCOME TAX CREDITS	\$	s		\$
f. OTHER REFUNDABLE TAX CREDITS	\$	\$	1.	\$ \$
g. NON-RECURRENT SHORT TERM BENEFITS	\$		1.	\$
h. PREVENTION OF OUT-OF-WDLCK PREG	\$15,529,443	\$2,385,207		\$ \$
i. 2-PARENT FAMILY FORM AND MAINT	\$10,851,663	\$		\$
j. ADMINISTRATION	\$8,572,140	\$1,949,094	\$	\$
k. SYSTEMS	\$292,177	\$289,372	\$	\$
I. NON-ASSISTANCE PRIOR LAW	\$68,324,657			\$
m. OTHER	\$68,987,102	\$61,130,045		\$
OTAL EXPENDITURES	\$212,614,466	\$102,303,265	\$2,406,334	\$0
RANSITIONAL SERVICES FOR EMPLOYED				
TO MALE SERVICES FOR EMPLOYED	\$	\$	\$	<u> </u>
EDERAL UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS	\$16 717 705			
NOBLIGATED BALANCE	\$16,717,785 \$1,930,170			\$0
	41,930,170			\$0
TATE REPLACEMENT FUNDS		<u> </u>		
JA JA		<u> </u>		
QUARTERLY ESTIMATE	TANF FEDERAL FUNDS			
STIMATE FOR NEXT QTR. ENDED	\$85,000,000			
			de de la companya de	
THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION	REPORTED ON ALL PARTS OF THIS FOR	RM IS ACCURATE AND TRUE TO THE BE	ST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF.	
ATURE: AUTHORIZED STATE OFFICIAL			TYPED NAME, TITLE, AGENCY I	NAME
CHRMITTER		,		
SUBMITTED: September 11, 2007	SUBMITTAL: [XXX]N	· ·		
1 OF 1 APPROVED OMB NO. 0970-0199 FORM ACF-196 (6/30/02			JOHN SARTAIN, DIRECTOR DEFICE OF FINANCIAL SERVICE:	
ARED BY: TERRIE E. BENNETT (404) 656-4891	}		PEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES	

Department of Health and Human Services Administration for Children and Families

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) ACF - 196 Financial Report STATE FISCAL YEAR **CURRENT QTR. ENDED NEXT QTR. ENDING** ANNUAL RECONCILIATION **GEORGIA** 2005 June 30, 2007 December 31, 2007 JYES [XXX] NO **FEDERAL FUNDS** STATE FUNDS **CONTINGENCY FUND** FEDERAL SHARE AT **FMAP RATE OF** 60.44 % (A) (B) (C) (D) FEDERAL AWARDS & TRANSFERS **FEDERAL AWARDS** 1. AWARDED \$372,028,378 2. TRANSFERRED TO CCDF DISCRETIONARY 3. TRANSFERRED TO SSBG \$19,839,809 4. ADJUSTED SFAG \$352,188,569 MOE EXPENDITURES IN **FEDERAL TANF** STATE MOE **SEPARATE** FEDERAL **EXPENDITURE CATEGORIES EXPENDITURES EXPENDITURES IN TANF** STATE PROGRAMS **EXPENDITURES** 5. EXPENDITURES ON ASSISTANCE a. BASIC ASSISTANCE \$0 \$71,067,856 \$844,217 b. CHILD CARE \$22,182,651 c. TRANSPORTATION AND OTHER SUPPORTIVE SERVICE \$7,397,360 \$6,109,893 d. ASSISTANCE AUTHORIZED SOLELY UNDER PRIOR LAS 6. EXPENDITURES ON NON-ASSISTANCE a. WORK RELATED ACTIVITIES/EXPENSES \$71,777,769 \$17,715,984 1. WORK SUBSIDIES \$ 2. EDUCATION AND TRAINING \$6,242,470 \$8,498,882 3. OTHER WORK ACTIVITIES/EXPENSES \$65,535,299 \$9,217,102 b. CHILD CARE \$ c. TRANSPORTATION \$0 \$892,813 \$0 \$0 1. JOB ACCESS \$ 2. OTHER \$ \$892,813 d. INDIVIDUAL DEVELOPMENT ACCOUNTS \$ \$ e. REFUNDABLE EARNED INCOME TAX CREDITS \$ \$ f. OTHER REFUNDABLE TAX CREDITS \$ g. NON-RECURRENT SHORT TERM BENEFITS \$ h. PREVENTION OF OUT-OF-WEDLOCK PREGNANCIES \$19,917,467 \$153 i. 2-PARENT FAMILY FORMATION AND MAINTENANCE \$12,169,097 j. ADMINISTRATION \$15,409,711 \$2,825,678 k. SYSTEMS \$1,004,197 \$989,454 I. NON-ASSISTANCE AUTHORIZED SOLEY UNDER PRIOR \$88,062,613 5/4 m. OTHER \$86,424,424 \$54,968,420 **TOTAL EXPENDITURES** \$302,162,638 \$176,752,902 \$844,217 \$0 TRANSITIONAL SERVICES FOR EMPLOYED Ŝ FEDERAL UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS \$5,686,326 \$0 **UNOBLIGATED BALANCE** \$44,339,605 STATE REPLACEMENT FUNDS **QUARTERLY ESTIMATE** TANF FEDERAL FUNDS ESTIMATE FOR NEXT QTR. ENDED THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION REPORTEO ON ALL PARTS OF THIS FORM IS ACCURATE AND TRUE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF. SNATURE: AUTHORIZED STATE OFFICIAL TYPED NAME, TITLE, AGENCY NAME TE SUBMITTED: September 7, 2007 SUBMITTAL: [] NEW [XXX] REVISED JOHN SARTAIN, DIRECTOR OFFICE OF FINANCIAL SERVICES 3E 1 OF 1 APPROVED OMB NO. 0970-0199 FORM ACF-196 (6/30/02)

EPARED BY: H STRICKLAND

(404) 656-489

DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES

(404) 656-2072

222

C. The Percentage of the TANF Caseload and the Number of Individuals
Given a Hardship Exemption
From the Lifetime Limit

TANF FAMILIES WITH HARDSHIP EXTENSIONS As Of June 30, 2007

					,					
						EX	EXTENSION REASON	ASON		
,										
	Total	Families	Percent of	hi c	Active	Substance		Caring for		1
County	TANF	with Extensions	Families with	Domestic	CPS	Abuse	Disabled	Household	Incomplete	Employment
APPEING	29	0	260.0	Policie	Casa	rrogram	(not 5SI)	Member	Work Plan	Opportunities
ATKINSON	27	U	%U U		0	O doctor	n e	0	0	0
BACON	6K		0.070	0	n	O	0	0	0	0
ВАКЕВ	15 45 45 45	Company of the Compan	0.4476	0	0	0	0	0	0	U as and
REAL PRATEI	CI.	O O	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NIIVO O	193	0	0.0%	0	0	0.	0	10	The United States	
DAINO	3/	0	%0.0	0	0	0	0	0	C) (
BAKKOW	101	0	%0.0	0	0	0	N. T.	U	0	0
BARIOW	199	0	%0.0	0	0	0			100	0
BENEFICE	- 62	0	0.0%	0	A CONTRACT	AND ASSESSED OF THE PARTY OF TH		0	0	0
BERRIEN	47	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0		0	0
888	717		0,1%	0	State of the state	The second of the second	0		O SERVICES	0
BLECKLEY	39	0	0.0%	0	0	0			0	O
BRANTLEY	43	0.00	8,000	0	10 W		0	0	0,0	0
BROOKS	61	0	0.0%	0					0	n
BRYAN	JE	Contract On the last	0.0%	O.	世界が記せる。	O C	0		0	0
ВИГГОСН	203	0	0.0%	()	0				O	0
BURKE	120		0.0%	0	0	S COLUMN TO SERVICE SE		0	0	
BUTTS	46	0	%0.0	0	0	0	0	0		0 0
CALHOUN	23	Ô	%0.0	0	0	0	0	***O*****		
CAMDEN	71	0	%0:0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
CANDERA	55	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	D. C. L. D. C.
CARROLL	377	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0		
CATOOSA	84	9	0.0%	0	0	0	Service On the	U	du sa ra Ostania	0 0
CHARLTON	30	0	%0.0	0	0	0	0	0		
CHAN HAM	467	2	0.4%	.0	0	0	0	U U	Carried Carried	
CHATTAHOOCHEE	22	0	%0.0	0	0	0	0	0	ı	
CHAI POOCA	57	9	9,000	6	0	0	0	0.0	0	0

TANF FAMILIES WITH HARDSHIP EXTENSIONS

As Of June 30, 2007

						i i	EXIENSION REASON	ASON		
								Caring for		
	Total	Families	Percent of		Active	Substance		Disabled		Limited
County	Families	with Extensions	Families with Extensions	Domestic Violence	CPS Case	Abuse Program	Disabled (not SSI)	Household	Incomplete Work Plan	Employment
FANNIN	- 56-	0	0.6%	0	0.5	0	0	U.S.	Windowski .	Commodalo
FAYETTE	102	0	%0.0	0	0	0	0	0	C	
FLOYD	239	0	.º60£0	0	0	0.	0	0		0
FORSYTH	61	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FRANKLIN	63	0. 31	0.0%	0	0	* O * *	0	0	14 OF 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	0. 7
FULTON	3,151	4	0.1%	0	0	0	4	0	0	
GILMER	26	. 0	%0,0	0	The Date of	O Charles	. 0	0,	0	0
GLASCOCK	12	0	%0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
GLYNN	208	0	0.0%	7. 1. 0. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	0	0	. 0	0	0	U varies
GORDON	141	0	%0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
GRADY	.82	Ó	0.0%	0	0	0	.0	10 PER 1	0	0, 14, 14, 14
GREENE	40	0	%0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
GWINNETT	493	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0	0	0 7	0	0
HABERSHAM	38	0	%0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HALL	261		0.4%	0	6	0.	The state of the s	0	-0	0
HANCOCK	36	0	%0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HARALSON	78	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0,
HARRIS	44	0	%0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HART	80	0	0,0%	0	0	0	0	0	4 0·	0
HEARD	40	0	%0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HENRY	349-		0.3%	0	0	Ō,	0	0	の対しては続く	0
HOUSTON	342	0	%0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
IRWIN	35	0	0.0%	0	0	0.	0	0.00	一年10	0.
JACKSON	118	0	%0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
JASPER	53	0	0,0.0	0 -	0	0	0	0	0	0
JEFF DAVIS	40	0	%0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
JEFFERSON	- 60	. 0	0.0%	0	0	0.000	. 0	0	0	0

TANF FAMILIES WITH HARDSHIP EXTENSIONS As Of June 30, 2007

	· · · · ·					EX	EXTENSION REASON	ASON		
1	Total	Families	Percent of		Active	Substance	1/2	Caring tor Disabled		, atimi
County	TANF Families	with Extensions	Families with	Domestic	CPS	Abuse	Disabled	Household	Incomplete	Employment
OGLETHORPE	28	School O	0.0%	0	2000	- 10gram	(IIOC OOI)	Member	work Flan	Opportunities
PAULDING	144	0	0.0%	0	0	O		0		
PEACH	80)	0	%0.0	0	ESE One	La Company	, u	0	0	こと しかいまる 大道の はのない
PICKENS	34	0	0.0%	0	0	0		o		
PIERCE	48		%0.0	0.	0	0	9	0	第四十年 · 日本 · 日	0
PIKE	39	0	%0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
POLK	108	0	%0.0	0	The Owner	0	0	0-1	0	
PULASKI	52	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	
PUTNAM	14	0	%0:0-	0	0	0	0	U- C	20年1日	1000年の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の
QUITMAN	18	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	C	
RABUN	47.	0	%D'0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	U space was
RANDOLPH	68	0	%0.0	0	0	0	0	0	C	
RICHMOND	1,021	0	0.0%	0	0	0	. 0	Θ	10 x 20 x 2 x 2	0
ROCKDALE	217	0	%0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
SCHLEY	12	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SCREVEN	91	0	%0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C
SEMINOLE	45	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0
SPALDING	265	0	%0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
STEPHENS	95	0	%0.0	0	0	0*	0	0	0	0
STEWART	26	0	%0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SUMTER	240	0	0.6%	0	Company	0,	0	0	0	0
TALBOT	33	0	%0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TALIAFERRO		0,00	0.0%	0	0.0	5 0	0,0	0.54	0.5	0.
TATTNALL	77	0	%0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TAYLOR	9	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	O. Service Production
	49	0	%0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TERRELL	19	0 11	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0 %	0

D. Number of Individuals Who Received Transportation Assistance and the Cost of Such Assistance

Transportation received by individuals participating in Application Job Search is shown in (E) Diversionary Assistance.

TANF RECIPIENT TRANSPORTATION ASSISTANCE State Fiscal Year 2007

COUNTY	ANNUAL		
COUNTY	CLIENTS	ANNUAL FUNDS	COST PER CLIENT
APPLING .	0	\$0,00	\$0,00
ATKINSON	0	\$0.00	\$0.00
BACON	0	\$0.00	\$0,00
BAKER	0	\$0.00	\$0.00
BALDWIN	39	\$3,435,00	\$88.08
BANKS	5	\$315.00	\$63.00
BARROW	, 0	\$0.00	\$0.00
BARTOW	10	\$644.50	\$64.45
BEN HILL	0 1	\$0.00	\$0,00
BERRIEN	0	\$0.00	\$0.00
BIBB: 10	166	\$14,000.00	\$84,34
BLECKLEY	15	\$870.00	\$58.00
BRANTLEY	+0	\$0.00	\$0.00
BROOKS	1	\$15.00	\$15.00
BRYAN	0	\$0.00	\$0.00
BULLOCH	38	\$6,091.00	\$160.29
BURKE	26	\$2,100.00	\$80.77
BUTTS	16	\$1,035.00	\$64.69
CALHOUN	. 5	\$200.00	\$40,00
CAMDEN	0	\$0.00	\$0.00
CANDLER	8	\$470.00	\$58.75
CARROLL	41	\$2,989.71	\$72.92
CATOOSA	47	\$1,221.18	\$25.98
CHARLTON	0	\$0.00	\$0.00
CHATHAM	8	\$950.00	8118.75
CHATTAHOOCHEE	The second property and the se	\$20.00	\$20.00
CHAIFT@CGAV: ***	72	\$1,757,59	\$24.41
CHEROKEE	5	\$564.16	\$112.83
CLARKE	53	\$8,055,00	\$67,64
CLAY	15	\$2,027.00	\$135.13
CLAYTON	2,592	\$103,596,96	339.97
CLINCH	0	\$0.00	\$0.00
COBB. COFFEE	612	\$24,607.15	\$40,21
	3	\$145.00	\$48.33
COLOUIT	8	\$555,00	\$69,38
COLUMBIA	7	\$560.00	\$80.00
COOK	9	\$345.00	\$88,83
COWETA	18	\$1,345.00	\$74.72
CRAWFORD		\$470.00	367.14
CRISP	9	\$475.00	\$52.78
DAME	0 7 3	₹\$0.00	\$0.00
DAWSON	25	\$2,140.00	\$85.60
DECATUR	1	\$65.00	\$65.00
DEKALB	111	\$11,629.48	\$104.77
DODGE	15	\$1,475,00	\$98.33
DOOLY	14	\$1,150.00	\$82.14
DOUGHERTY	674	\$59,430198	\$88.18

TANF RECIPIENT TRANSPORTATION ASSISTANCE State Fiscal Year 2007

	Т — — —	T	
COUNTY	ANNUAL CLIENTS	ANNUAL FUNDS	COST PER CLIENT
MADISON	10	\$210.00	Malure Salar
MARION	0	\$0.00	\$21.00
MCDUFFIE	3	\$200.00	\$0.00
MCINTOSH	0	\$0.00	\$66.67
MERIWETHER	18	\$4,245.00	\$0.00
MILLER	0	\$0.00	\$235.83 \$0.00
MITCHELL	0	\$0.00	\$0.00 \$0.00
MONROE	2	\$190.00	\$95.00
MONTGOMERY	27	\$2,065,00	\$76.48
MORGAN	5	\$448.75	\$89.75
MURRAY	3	\$125.00	\$41.67
MUSCOGEE	1,376	\$124,796.76	\$90.70
NEWTON:	1	\$600.00	\$600,00
OCONEE	0	\$0.00	\$0.00
OGLETHORPE	0	\$0.00	\$0.00
PAULDING	0	\$0.00	\$0.00
EACH	74	\$6,090.00	\$82,30
PICKENS	12	\$295.00	\$24.58
PERCE	0	\$9.00	\$0,00
PIKE	29	\$1,995.00	\$68.79
OLK	9	\$570:00	\$63.33
PULASKI	10	\$775.00	\$77.50
MANTU	45	\$2,690.00	\$59,78
QUITMAN	4	\$240.00	\$60.00
ABUN ANDOLPH	5	\$\$295.00	\$59,00
	0	\$0.00	\$0.00
ICHMOND OCKDALE	1,210	\$77,586.00	\$64.12
CHLEY	143	\$5,474.02	\$38.28
CREVEN	3	\$265.00	\$88.33
EMINOLE	22	\$1,265.00	\$57.50
PALDING	0	\$0.00	\$0,00
TEPHENS	185	\$9,400.00	\$50.81
TEWART	60	\$4.074.00	\$67.90
UMTER	3	\$105.00	\$35.00
ALBOT	125	\$11,460,00	\$91.68
ALIAFERRO	20	\$1,560.00	\$78.00
ATTNALL	0 .	\$0.00	\$0.00
YLOR	2	\$220.00	\$110.00
LFAIR	13	\$1,806.60	\$138.97
RRELL	5	\$250.00	\$50.00
HOMAS	0	\$0.00	\$0.00
T.		\$50.00	\$50.00
DOMBS		\$80.00	\$80.00
WNSF	5	\$235.00	\$47.00
REUTLEN	0	\$0.00	\$0.00
OUP	39	\$3,303.00	\$84.69
2008	40	\$2,605.00	\$65.13

E. The Number of Individuals Who
Received Diversionary Assistance in Order to
Prevent Their Requiring TANF Assistance
And the Categories and Cost of
Such Diversionary Assistance and
Job Acceptance and Retention Statistics

Note: A diverted individual is defined as one who participates in Application Job Search and whose application for cash assistance is denied because the person either accepts a job or withdraws his or her application. No additional information is gathered on these individuals since they are no longer included in the TANF database.

nes .	TYPE OF	ANNUAL		AVERAGE COST PER
COUNTY	ASSISTANCE	CLIENTS	ANNUAL FUNDS	CLIENT
APPLING	TRANSPORTATION	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$0.00	\$0.00
	INCIDENTALS	0	\$0.00	\$0,00
ATKINSON	CHILD CARE TRANSPORTATION	0 0	\$0.00	\$0.00
,	INCIDENTALS	0	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$0.00 \$0.00
	CHILD CARE	0	\$0.00	\$0.00 \$0.00
BACON	TRANSPORTATION	3	\$78,00	φ0.00 \$26.00
	INCIDENTALS	li i	\$138.76	\$138.76
	CHILD CARE	.20	\$1,188,00	\$59.40
BAKER	TRANSPORTATION	- 1	\$5.00	\$5.00
	INCIDENTALS CHILD CARE	0	\$0.00	\$0.00
BALDWIN	TRANSPORTATION	0 42	\$0.00	\$0.00
	INCIDENTALS	3	\$2,040.00 \$384.36	\$48.57/ \$1.28,1,2
	CHILD CARE	56	\$5,766.00	\$102.96
BANKS	TRANSPORTATION	7	\$105.00	\$15.00
	INCIDENTALS	0	\$0.00	\$0.00
	CHILD CARE	0	\$0.00	\$0.00
BARROW	TRANSPORTATION	53	\$1,900.00	\$35.85
	INCIDENTALS CHILD CARE	12	\$1,803.01	\$150.25
BARTOW	TRANSPORTATION	166 2	\$20,632.00	\$124.29
J	INCIDENTALS	0	\$85.00 \$0.00	\$42.50 \$0.00
	CHILD CARE	94	\$13,404.00	\$142.60
BENHILL	TRANSPORTATION	0	\$0.00	\$0,00
	INCIDENTALS	1	\$10,00	\$19,00
DEDDIEN	CHILD CARE	1 1	\$60.00	\$60.00
BERRIEN	TRANSPORTATION	1	\$15.00	\$15.00
	INCIDENTALS CHILD CARE	0	\$0.00	\$0.00
BIBB	TRANSPORTATION	23 288	\$2,326.00 \$21,360.00	\$101.13
	INCIDENTALS	667	\$21,380,00	\$74.17 \$621.12
	CHILD CARE	3,424	\$374,290,00	\$109.81
BLECKLEY	TRANSPORTATION	21	\$845.00	\$40.24
	INCIDENTALS	2	\$682.50	\$341.25
	CHILD CARE	3	\$315.00	\$105.00
BRANTLEY	TRANSPORTATION	0 -	\$0.00	\$0.00
	INCIDENTALS CHILD CARÉ	1	\$105.18	\$105.18
BROOKS	TRANSPORTATION	≠ 9	\$210.00	\$105.00
2.100110	INCIDENTALS	0	\$170.00 \$0.00	\$18.89 \$0.00
	CHILD CARE	3	\$210.00	\$70.00
BRYAN	TRANSPORTATION	2	\$116.00	\$57.50
	INCIDENTALS	.0	\$0.00	\$0.00
	CHILD CARE	0	\$0.00	\$0.00
BULLOCH	TRANSPORTATION	22	\$665.00	\$30.23
	INCIDENTALS	3	\$858.24	\$286.08
	CHILD CARE	135	\$19,122.00	\$141.64

	TYPE OF	ANNUAL	у подполения	AVERAGE COST PER
COUNTY	ASSISTANCE	CLIENTS	ANNUAL FUNDS	CLIENT
CORB	TRANSPORTATION	1,217	\$19,461.81	\$15.99
	INCIDENTALS CHILD CARE	-8	\$1,603.90	\$200.49
COFFEE	TRANSPORTATION	2,650 0	\$387,780,00	\$146.83
COLLEC	INCIDENTALS	0	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$0.00 \$0.00
9	CHILD CARE	8	\$690.00	\$86.25
COLOUNT	TRANSPORTATION	5	\$255.02	\$51.00
	INCIDENTALS	13	\$1,402.87	\$107.91
	CHILD CARE	119	\$12,415.00	\$104.33
COLUMBIA	TRANSPORTATION	1	\$250.00	\$250.00
	INCIDENTALS	1	\$144.00	\$144.00
	CHILD CARE	48	\$5,693.00	\$118.60
COOK	TRANSPORTATION	23	\$750,00	\$32,61
	INCIDENTALS	10	\$2,649,21	\$134,17
COWETA	CHILD CARE TRANSPORTATION	-45 26	\$4,342.00	\$96,49
COVILIA	INCIDENTALS	36 4	\$899.47	\$24.99
	CHILD CARE	184	\$1,121.26 \$19,072.00	\$280.32 \$103.65
CRAWFORD	TRANSPORTATION	6	\$150.00	\$25.00
	INCIDENTALS	Ó	\$0.00	\$0.00
	CHILD CARE	1	\$34.00	\$34,00
CRISP	TRANSPORTATION	24	\$1,035.00	\$43.13
	INCIDENTALS	4	\$526.13	\$131.53
	CHILD CARE	26	\$2,969.00	\$114.19
DADE	TRANSPORTATION	17	\$425:00	\$25,00
	INCIDENTALS	1 - 1	\$73,58	\$73.58
DAIMOON	CHILD CARE	₹ 0	\$0.00	\$0,00
DAWSON	TRANSPORTATION	3	\$150.00	\$50.00
	INCIDENTALS	0	\$0.00	\$0.00
DECATUR	CHILD CARE TRANSPORTATION	12 12	\$1,302.00	\$108.50
	MINCIDENTALS	0	\$220.00 \$0.00	\$18.33
	CHILD CARE	35	\$2,729.00	\$0.00 \$77.97
DEKALB	TRANSPORTATION	8,323	\$170,531.50	\$20.49
	INCIDENTALS	1	\$1,120.00	\$1,120.00
	CHILD CARE	8,765	\$1,223,831.00	\$139.63
DODGE	TRANSPORTATION	3 1 1 TWS	\$100.00	\$100.00
	INCIDENTALS	0 0	\$0,00	\$0.00
	CHILD CARE	16	\$3,950,00	\$246.88
DOOLY	TRANSPORTATION	7	\$265.00	\$37.86
	INCIDENTALS	4	\$537.77	\$134.44
MANUFACTURE TO THE PARTY OF THE	CHILD CARE	42	\$3,179.00	\$75.69
DOUGHERTY	TRANSPORTATION	336	\$24,335,00	\$72.43
	INCIDENTALS	5	\$8,010.00	\$1,602.00
DOUGLAS	CHILD GARE	999	\$99,398,00	\$99.50
DOUGLAS	TRANSPORTATION	208	\$20,326.92	\$97.73
	INCIDENTALS CHILD CARE	148 2,351	\$4,290.66 \$354.935.00	\$28.99
	OTTLD CARE	2,331	\$354,935.00	\$150.97

	TYPE OF	ANNUAL		AVERAGE COST PER
COUNTY	ASSISTANCE	CLIENTS	ANNUAL FUNDS	CLIENT
GRADY	TRANSPORTATION	14	\$270:00	\$19.29
	INCIDENTALS CHILD CARE	1	\$200.00	\$200.00
GREENE	TRANSPORTATION	4 0	\$310.00 \$0.00	\$77.50
	INCIDENTALS	2	\$99.43	\$0.00 \$49.72
3000	CHILD CARE	63	\$6,049.00	\$96.02
GWINNETT	TRANSPORTATION INCIDENTALS	873	\$13,070.40	\$14.97
	CHILD CARE	401 2.164	\$9,800.00 \$338,436.00	\$24.44
HABERSHAM	TRANSPORTATION	40	\$1,630.00	\$156.39 \$40.75
	INCIDENTALS	19	\$3,522.81	\$185.41
HALL	CHILD CARE	59	\$7,362.00	\$124.78
77.75	TRANSPORTATION	29,	\$6,446.00	\$227,28
	CHILD CARE	389	\$257.65 \$59,869.00	\$128.83 \$156,32
HANCOCK	TRANSPORTATION	0	\$0.00	\$0.00
	INCIDENTALS	0	\$0.00	\$0.00
HARALSON	CHILD CARE TRANSPORTATION	9	\$976.00	\$108.44
WWW.COV	INCIDENTALS	0	\$0.00	\$0.00
	CHILD CARE	0	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$0,00 \$0.00
HARRIS	TRANSPORTATION	25	\$1,105.00	\$44.20
	INCIDENTALS	4	\$1,089.51	\$272.38
HART	CHILD CARE	47	\$4,480.00	\$95.32
	TRANSPORTATION INCIDENTALS	63 0	\$2,516,13	\$39.92
	CHILD CARE	12	\$0.00 \$1.545,00	\$0.00 \$128.75
HEARD	TRANSPORTATION	0	\$0.00	\$0.00
	INCIDENTALS	0	\$0.00	\$0.00
HENRY	CHILD CARE TRANSPORTATION	0	\$0.00	\$0.00
	INCIDENTALS	24 88	\$8,550.00	\$356.25
	CHILD CARE	538 · · ·	\$54,863,00 \$82,916,00	\$623.44 \$152.45
HOUSTON	TRANSPORTATION	318	\$21,655.00	\$68.10
	INCIDENTALS	12	\$51,822.00	\$4,318.50
IRWN	CHILD CARE TRANSPORTATION	922	\$108,162.00	\$117.31
	INCIDENTALS	0	\$0,00	\$0.00
	CHILD CARE	4	\$0.00 \$360.00	\$0.00 \$90.00
JACKSON	TRANSPORTATION	24	\$1,695.00	\$70.63
	INCIDENTALS	0	\$0.00	\$0.00
JASPER.	CHILD CARE	35	\$4,445.00	\$127.00
	TRANSPORTATION INCIDENTALS	0	\$0,00	\$0,00
	CHILD CARE	2 44	\$401.96 \$4.227600	\$200.98
JEFF DAVIS	TRANSPORTATION	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	\$0.00	\$96.07 \$0.00
	INCIDENTALS	0	\$0.00	\$0.00
	CHILD CARE	44	\$375.00	\$93.75

COLUMN	TYPE OF	ANNUAL		AVERAGE COST PER
COUNTY	ASSISTANCE	CLIENTS	ANNUAL FUNDS	CLIENT
MCDUFFIE	TRANSPORTATION	/0	\$0,00	\$0,00
	INCIDENTALS CHILD CARE	0	\$0.00	\$0,00
MCINTOSH	TRANSPORTATION	, , , , , 0	\$0,00	\$0.00
	INCIDENTALS	0	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$0.00
	CHILD CARE	0	\$0.00	\$0.00 \$0.00
MERIWETHER	TRANSPORTATION	41	\$745.00	\$0.00 \$67.73
	INCIDENTALS	0 - 0	\$0.00	\$0.00
MILLER	CHILD CARE	.58	\$4,819,00	\$86.05
MILLER	TRANSPORTATION	0	\$0.00	\$0.00
	INCIDENTALS CHILD CARE	0	\$0.00	\$0.00
MITCHELL	TRANSPORTATION	5	\$494.00	\$98.80
	INGIDENTALS.		\$200.00	\$28.57
	, CHILD CARE	G .	\$276,00 \$0.00	\$276,00
MONROE	TRANSPORTATION	0	\$0.00	\$0.00 \$0.00
	INCIDENTALS	Ö	\$0.00	\$0.00 \$0.00
	CHILD CARE	5	\$1,264.00	\$252.80
MONTGOMERY	TRANSPORTATION	12	\$305.00	\$25.42
	INCIDENTALS	÷ 6	\$889.29	\$148.22
MORGAN	CHILD CARE	Jan 196 Ann	\$2,739.00	\$144.16
MOROAN	TRANSPORTATION INCIDENTALS	6	\$157.50	\$26.25
	CHILD CARE	3 54	\$252.22	\$84.07
MURRAY	TRANSPORTATION	54 47	\$6,144.00	\$113.78
	INCIDENTALS	10	\$931,91 \$1,381,27	\$19.83
	CHILD CARE	11	\$1,519,00	\$138,13 \$138.09
MUSCOGEE	TRANSPORTATION	35	\$2,015.00	\$57.57
	INCIDENTALS	0	\$0.00	\$0.00
NEWTON	CHILD CARE	2,482	\$259,120.00	\$104.40
MEMATONA	TRANSPORTATION		\$75.00	\$75.00
	INCIDENTALS CHILD.CARE	10	\$0.00	- \$9.00
OCONEE	TRANSPORTATION	7/65	, \$75,363 .00	\$98.51
	INCIDENTALS	0 0	\$0.00	\$0.00
	CHILD CARE	2	\$0.00	\$0.00
OGLETHORPE	TRANSPORTATION	0.	\$299.00 \$0.00	\$149.50
	INCIDENTALS	6	\$0.00	\$0.00 \$0.00
	CHILD CARE	15	\$1,954.00	\$130.27
PAULDING	TRANSPORTATION	174	\$2,610.01	\$15.00
	INCIDENTALS	4	\$1,376.54	\$344.14
BACH *	CHILD CARE	148	\$26,803.00	\$181.10
Triboun a treatment	TRANSPORTATION		\$75,00	16/5 ,0°0)
	INCIDENTALS CHILD CARE		\$178,00	\$178.00
PICKENS	TRANSPORTATION	120	\$17,158.00	\$128.04
	INCIDENTALS	5	\$125.00	\$25.00
	CHILD CARE	0	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$0.00
	or it the	<u> </u>	\$0.00	\$0.00

COUNTY	TYPE OF	ANNUAL		AVERAGE COST PER
COUNTY	ASSISTANCE	CLIENTS	ANNUAL FUNDS	CLIENT
SUMTER	TRANSPORTATION	48	\$2,730.00	\$56.88
	INCIDENTALS CHILD CARE	0,	\$0.00	\$0.00
TALBOT	TRANSPORTATION	30° 0	\$3,859.00 \$0.00	\$128,63
	INCIDENTALS	0	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$0.00 \$0.00
	CHILD CARE	0	\$0.00	\$0.00 \$0.00
TALIAFERRO	TRANSPORTATION		\$0.00	\$0.00
	INCIDENTALS	0 : 2	\$0.00	\$0.00
	CHILD CARE	0	\$0.00	\$0.00
TATTNALL	TRANSPORTATION	2	\$95.00	\$47.50
	INCIDENTALS	2	\$395.25	\$197.63
TAYLOR	CHILD CARE	26	\$3,673.00	\$141.27
TATLER. L. D. 7	TRANSPORTATION INCIDENTALS	0	\$0.00	30.00
	CHILD CARE	0 44	\$0.00	\$0.00
TELFAIR	TRANSPORTATION	1	\$1,184.00 \$25.00	\$107.64
	INCIDENTALS	Ó	\$0.00	\$25.00 \$0.00
	CHILD CARE	Ö	\$0.00	\$0.00
HERRELL .	TRANSPORTATION	-0	\$0.00	\$0.00
	INCIDENTALS	0	\$0.00	\$0.00
	CHILD CARE	4	\$428.00	\$1.07.00
THOMAS	TRANSPORTATION	101	\$1,904.99	\$18.86
	INCIDENTALS	17	\$4,278.98	\$251.70
Mer .	CHILD CARE	112	\$10,045.00	\$89.69
	TRANSPORTATION INCIDENTALS	5 - 4 14	\$80.00	\$16.00
	CHILD CARE	5	\$75.87 \$468.00	\$75.87
TOOMBS	TRANSPORTATION	0	\$0.00	\$93,50 \$0.00
	INCIDENTALS	8	\$1,295.65	\$161.96
	CHILD CARE	2	\$248.00	\$124.00
TOWNS	TRANSPORTATION	1 1	\$5.00	\$5.00
	INCIDENTALS	(F) (1)	\$500,00	\$500.00
TO SUIT SU	CHILD CARE	4	\$818.00	\$204,50
TREUTLEN	TRANSPORTATION	11	\$350.00	\$31.82
	INCIDENTALS	2	\$712.70	\$356.35
TROUP	CHILD CARE TRANSPORTATION	2	\$120.00	\$60.00
	INCIDENTALS	11 0	\$455.00	\$41.36
	CHILD CARE	212	\$0.00' \$23,264,00	\$0.00
TURNER	TRANSPORTATION	0	\$0.00	\$109.74 \$0.00
	INCIDENTALS	Ö	\$0.00	\$0.00
	CHILD CARE	14	\$1,296.00	\$92.57
TWICES	TRANSPORTATION	0	\$9.00	\$0.00
	INCIDENTALS	2	\$300.00	3 150,00
	CHILD CARE	9	\$942.00	\$104.67
UNION	TRANSPORTATION	1	\$100.00	\$100.00
	INCIDENTALS	0	\$0.00	\$0.00
	CHILD CARE	0	\$0.00	\$0.00

F. The Number of Individuals Denied **Assistance Due to a Serious Felony** Conviction

INDIVIDUALS DENIED ASSISTANCE DUE TO A VIOLENT FELONY CONVICTION State Fiscal Year 2007

COUNTY	NUMBER DENIED/CLOSED
BARROW	1
BARTOW	2
BIBB	and the second s
BULLOCH	2
BUTTS	1
CHATHAM	3
CLARKE *	2
CLAYTON	6
COBB	2
COWETA	3
DEKALB	3 ;
DOUGHERTY	8
DOUGLAS	1
ELBERT	ERRO AND STUDY OF THE PROPERTY
FORSYTH	1.
FULTON	
GWINNETT	1
HEARD	1
HENRY	1
HOUSTON	4
JENKINS JOHNSON	1
LANIER	1
LIBERTY	1
LOWNDES	1
MADISON	3
MUSCOGEE	
POLK	1
RANDOLPH	
RICHMOND	2
SCHLEY	2
SPALDING	2
SUMTER	2
TROUP	2
WALTON	2
WAYNE	1

G. The Number of Mothers Under 19 Years of Age Who Received Assistance and Their Percentage of the Total TANF Caseload

THE NUMBER OF MOTHERS UNDER 19 YEARS OF AGE WHO RECEIVED ASSISTANCE AND THEIR PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL TANF CASELOAD

State Fiscal Year 2007

NUMBER OF TEEN AVERAGE MONTHLY COUNTY MOMS CASES APPLING 1 72 3 ATKINSON 1 31	PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL TANF CASELOAD
COUNTY MOMS CASES APPLING 1 72	TANF CASELOAD
APPLING 1 172 3 3	1.4%
AND ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY	CANCEL AND PROPERTY OF THE PRO
- 1997年 - 1	CANCEL AND PROPERTY OF THE PRO
	3.2%
BACON 1 42	2.4%
BAKER 1 17	5.9%
BALDWIN 2 163	1.2%
BANKS 1 37	2.7%
BARROW 1 110	0.9%
BARTOW 1 218	0.5%
BENHLL 1 ; 94	1.1%
BERRIEN 1 53	1.9%
BIBB 2 770	0.3%
BLECKLEY 2 49	4.1%
BRANTLEY 1: 43	2.3%
BROOKS 1 62	1.6%
BRYAN 1 35	2.9%
BULLOCH 5 212	2.4%
BURKE 7 135	5,2%
BUTTS 3 54 CALHOUN 1 29	5.6%
THE RESERVE OF THE PROPERTY OF	3.4%
STREET, STREET	2.7%
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	5.1%
CARROLL 8 376 CATOOSA 1 89	2.1%
CHARLTON 1 30	1.1%
CHATHAM 8 549	3.3%
CHATTAHOOCHEE 1 22	4.5% 4.5%
CHATTOOGA 3 66	4.5%
CHEROKEE 1 109	0.9%
CLARKE 9 272	3.3%
CLAY 1 34	2.9%
OLAYTON 21 1,095	1.9%
CLINCH 1 31	3.2%
COBB 23 893	2.6%
COFFEE 1 1 137	0.7%
GOLOUITT 164	7 0,6%
COLUMBIA 1 114	0.9%
COOK 1 59	1.7%
COWETA 1 252	0.4%
CRAWFORD 1 48	2.1%
CRISP 1 94	1.1%
DADE 1 16	6.3%

THE NUMBER OF MOTHERS UNDER 19 YEARS OF AGE WHO RECEIVED ASSISTANCE AND THEIR PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL TANF CASELOAD

State Fiscal Year 2007

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	NUMBER OF TEEN	AVERAGE MONTHLY	PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL
COUNTY	MOMS	CASES	TANF CASELOAD
JENKINS	1	70	1.4%
JOHNSON	1	-47	2.1%
JONES	3	90	3.3%
LAMAR	- 1	48	2.1%
LANIER	1	26	3.8%
LAURENS	3	210	1.4%
LEE	1	30	3.3%
LIBERTY	5	177	2.8%
LINCOLN		26	3.8%
LONG	1	41	2.4%
LOWNDES	3	286	1.0%
LUMPKIN MACON		41	2.4%
MADISON		43	2.3%
MARION	1	85	1.2%
MCDUFFIE		41 108	2.4%
MCINTOSH	4	45	3.7%
MERIWETHER	2	45 124	2.2% 2.4%
MILLER	1	27	3.7%
MITCHELL		119	0.8%
MONROE		61	1.6%
MONTGOMERY		240	2.5%
MORGAN		79	1.3%
MURRAY	1 1 1 1	88	1,1%
MUSCOGEE	50	992	5.0%
NEWTON	2	293	0.7%
OCONEE	1	22	4.5%
OGLETHORPE	1 1 5	39	2.6%
PAULDING	1	146	0.7%
PEACH		127	0.8%
PICKENS	1	37	2.7%
PIERCE	1 1	47	2.1%
PIKE	1	42	2.4%
POLK	3	119	: 2.5%
PULASKI	2	59	3.4%
PUTNAM	-3	75	4.0%
QUITMAN	1	17	5.9%
RABUN	- 1	. 17	5.9%
RANDOLPH	4	64	6.3%
RÍCHMOŇD	6 2 - 7	1,029	6.0%


H. The Number of Children Receiving Subsidized Child Care and the Total Average Recipient Cost of Child Care Provided to TANF Recipients

	Average Cost Per Child	*****	\$0	\$1,359	\$1,371	\$1,907	\$2,984	\$7,615	\$2,862	\$1365	\$1,604	\$1,658	\$1,622	\$1,185	\$2,117	\$1.936	\$2,266	\$1,325	\$1,713	\$1,482	\$2,451	\$1,921
	TOTAL Annual Expenditures	.54 952	\$0	\$5,661	\$914	\$342,239	\$2,238	\$98,525	\$115,911	\$9,554	\$14,301	\$2,257,302 1.	\$33,513	\$9,554	\$5,470	\$7,098	\$243,202	\$145,371	\$63,956	\$8,397	\$243,708	\$12,329
PRE-K	IsunnA Expenditures	. 98	\$0	19999	\$0	\$17,777	\$983	\$18,790	\$54,656	\$3,053	\$0	\$122,115	\$1,537	\$991	\$1,531	\$3,913	\$19,433	\$56,908	\$6,037	2007	\$27,344	\$1,903
ā	etneilO lsunnA	0	0	~ 0	0	10	0	45	20	3	0	88	1	+	1	က	12	49	9		17	
Transitional	Annual Expenditures	\$4,352	\$0	\$4,084	\$914	\$259,435	\$1,255	\$53,853	\$42,286	\$6,441	\$11,975	\$1,478,937	\$20,980	\$1,743	\$3,729	\$3,185	\$148,086	\$52,907	\$38,299	\$3,251	\$169,139	\$7,816
Trar	stneilO lsunnA	3	0	72	-	129	0	29	11	4		828~	14		2		28	34	20	3	29	7
TANF	Annual Expenditures	0\$	\$0	98	\$0	\$59,261	\$0	\$250	\$5,565	. 20	\$0	\$281,960	\$10,681	\$6f0 · ·	\$0	0\$	\$56,561	\$35,562	\$18,000	\$4,239	\$4,345	\$2,610
	etneilD launnA	0	0	0	0	35	0	0	2	0	0	160	9		0	0	26	27	10	3	_	1
APPLICANT SERVICES	Annual Expenditures	0\$	\$0	\$1,188	\$0	\$5,766	\$0	\$20,632	\$13,404	356	\$2,326	\$374,290	\$315	0.29	\$210	\$0	\$19,122	» 0\$	\$1,620	\$0	\$42,880	20
APP	etneilO lsunnA	6	0	2	0	9	0	14	œ	Đ	2	285	0	0	0	0	11	0	2	0	22	0
	COUNTY	APPLING	ATKINSON	BACON	BAKER	BALDWIN	BANKS	BARROW	BARTOW	BEN HILL	BERRIEN	8188	BLECKLEY	BRANTLEY	BROOKS	BRYAN	BULLOCH	BURKE	BUTTS	CALHOUN	CAMDEN	CANDLER

	Average Cost Per Child	7.5	\$2,264	\$2,264	\$1,472	704	\$2,187	\$1.299	\$0	956	\$1,401	\$1.281	\$1,386	\$1,743	469	241	\$3,448	\$1 454	\$2,431	\$2.796	\$1,954	720
	Ave Cos	8	\$2	\$2	\$1,	\$1	\$2,	\$1	And the special state of the st	\$1	\$1,	\$1	\$1,	- S	\$2,	\$2	\$3	51	\$2.	\$2	\$1	\$1 720
	TOTAL Annual Expenditures	\$44,366	\$7,858,139	\$71,704	\$15,944	\$1,059,939	\$1,623,044	\$41,905	\$0	\$81,024	\$28,376	\$76,648	\$20,215	\$36,012	\$169,939	\$441,754	\$103,427	\$5.817	\$15,326,709	\$11,651	\$5,698	\$272,432
PRE-K	Annual Expenditures		\$52,155	\$19,204	\$0	\$85,509	\$9,753	\$6,347	\$0	\$660	\$1,210	\$31,611	\$4,817	\$9,258	\$0	\$18,432	\$810		\$275,442	\$2,729	\$0	\$5,878
<u> </u>	stneilO lsunnA	25	27		0	99	വ	2	0	. 0	_	29	2	C)	0	14	0	0	156		0	ĝ.
Transitional	Annual Expenditures	\$9,211	\$4,317,759	-\$38,325	\$1,610	. \$451,721	\$1,048,208	\$4,330	\$0	\$62,395	\$18,697	\$29,910	\$10,927	\$10,496	\$127,183	\$225,996	\$59,124	\$3,451	\$9,470,109	\$6,829	\$3,596	\$203,521
Trai	Annual Clients	1	1,787	14	The state of the s	240	449	3	0	29	12	20,	7	2	50	86	15	3	3,707	2	2	140
TANF	Annual Expenditures	\$3,586	\$2,264,394	\$10,225	\$11,155	60.00	\$210,148	2,184	\$0	\$10,179	\$6,640	813.147	\$3,713	\$2.283	\$28,191	\$66,138	\$19,826	\$2,366	\$3,800,191	\$164	\$980	\$24,550
	etneil Clients	3	927	n o	9	233	92	-	0	9	9	n ·	Z		11	97	9	2	1,488	0	The second second	14
APPLICANT SERVICES	Annual Expenditures	\$2,729	\$1,223,831	00,400	\$3,1/9	900,000	\$304,935 \$35	0.00	0\$	067.790	\$1,829	D2614	\$/58	0.00.00	\$14,505	P13T-188	\$23,668	96	\$1,780,967	\$4,929	\$1,122	\$56,483
APP	etneil Clients	300	/30	T Control	4	406	081	n o	0			7		2	0	/K	ກ	b	953			23
	COUNTY	DECALUK DEKALU	VENALD MOIDOR	V 1000	DOOL TOTAL		EAD! V						FANNS	コートロンマロ			TORSYTH TO A CITY OF THE STATE	TANK TO THE TANK T	TOLION		GLASCOCK	LINN

	Average Cost Per Child	\$1.743	\$1,524	\$1.801	\$1,928	\$2.096	\$1,202	\$1,402	\$1,669	\$2.876	\$1,271	\$1,861	\$1,449	\$1,570	\$1,910	\$1.545	\$1,382	\$939	\$1,885	\$1,569	\$1,472	S 441
	TOTAL Annual Expenditures	\$66,218	\$22,863	\$161,634	\$13,012	\$414,013	\$12,818	\$5.841	\$387,103	\$39,887	\$3,812	\$37,36 8	\$1,570	\$93,306	\$955	\$51.643	\$4,606	\$5,398 ***	\$35,824	\$20,664	\$36,674	\$21.768
PRE-K	Annual Expenditures	90	\$0	\$40,594	\$1,985	\$3,328	\$6,204	20	\$12,519	- 0\$	\$2,010	56.883	\$0	\$40.794	\$320	\$3,175	\$0	\$4,049	\$419	\$791	\$12,153	200
<u>a</u>	etneilO launnA	0	0		_	2	9	0	10	0	2	Ţ.	0	. 29	0	2	0	ις:	0	1	6	0
Transitional	Annual EunnA Expenditures	\$50,477	\$20,059	\$78,975	\$11,027	\$232,402	\$6,505	\$4,615	\$276,942	\$30,857	\$1,614	\$22,580	\$1,570	\$46,123	\$0	\$19,244	\$3,006	\$1,349	\$17,682	\$8,720	\$14,417	\$19.935
Trar	etneil Clients	28	13	41	သ	86	4	3	152	10	-	1	-	26	0	12	2		10	9	တ	8
TANF	Annual Expenditures	\$12,250	\$0	\$42,065	\$0	\$138,012	\$109	\$140	\$16,815	\$5,755	\$188	\$5,868	\$0	\$6,389	\$635	\$24,405	\$1,106	80	\$16,459	\$8,414	\$3,960	2014
	Annual Clients	1	0	22	0	99	0	0	9	3	0	4	0	4	0	15		0.	8	2	2	0
APPLICANT SERVICES	Annual Expenditures	\$3,491	\$2,804	20	\$0	\$40,271	20	\$1,086	\$80,827	\$3,275	\$0	\$2,037	\$0	20	\$0	54,819	\$494	20	\$1,264	\$2,739	\$6,144	\$1,519
APP	Annual Clients	3	2	0	0	*	0	記した。	09	2	0	2	0	0	0	G	0	0	0	2	5	
	COUNTY	LAMAR	LANIER	LAURENS	CEE		LINCOLN	LONG	LOWNDES	LOMPKIN	MACON	MADISON	MARION	MCDUFFIE	MCINIOSH	MERIWETHER	MILLER	MITCHELL	MONROE	MONIGOMERY	MORGAN	IMURKAY

		Average Cost Per Child	64 004	000	\$ 1,553 \$1,783	\$2.075		\$2.013	\$1,305	\$2,286	\$1,209	\$1,446	\$1794	\$1,558	\$2,424	\$1.758	\$1,681	\$1,644	41 BBD	\$2 186	£ 2, 201	80 14R	C+1,70
		TOTAL Annual Expenditures	\$51100n	\$00°	\$185.816	\$25,244	- 80	\$25,503	\$15,118	\$3,048	\$25,023;	\$91,450	\$65,647	\$29,728	\$2,020	\$5,713	\$334,420	\$18,357	\$19,233	\$1,275	\$38,080	\$37,366	CACE SUC
	PRE-K	Annual senditures		0\$	\$343	\$1,950	\$0	\$5,811	80	\$611	20	\$19,511	\$8,731	\$15,535	20	\$946	526.527	\$4,219	\$4,229	\$70	\$999	\$6,765	CO 927
	<u> </u>	Annual Clients	2	0	0.	-	0	4	0	0	0	15	9 .	12	0	0	20	4	. 3	0	Same Assessed	4	9
	Transitional	Annual Expenditures	\$29,886	\$256	\$58,219	\$1,040	-20	\$14,184	55,767	\$2,097	\$24,595	\$59,404	354,656	\$9,665	202,14	\$2,911	\$207,641	\$12,842	59,713	\$1,205	\$19,415	\$15,923	\$108.323
	Trai	Annual Clients	74	0	34	- Commence	0	5	6	-	9	36	3	4			119	9	Ġ	-	(3)	7	26
	TANF	Annual senditures	\$15,997	\$650	\$123,395	\$22,254	90 F	\$1,835	\$3.10	O+0+	00 AOO	\$2,490 ** ***	94 DOO	94,20U	£4 706	41,730	9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0\$	\$4.04¢	80	\$16,462	\$10,536	618, 139
L		Annual Clients	80	0	69	1.	5		5 C		o (1	2	3	0		C.C.	4.5		200	0	4	4	10
APPI ICANT	SERVICES	Annual Expenditures	\$2,789	0\$	63,859	0.00	40 43 672	63,073 64 487	80	bCF\$	\$10.045	#450 #450	\$248	\$818	\$120	450 DOM	£4 20c	057,14	#24¢	04	0 4204	44,142	420,977
APE	SE	Stneil Clients			φ -		の対象を	4	0		0	90		1 0 m	0	12	- Table 1					0	(A) (A) (A) (A)
		COUNTY	STEWART	SI WITTER	TALBOT	TALIAFFRE	TATTNALL	TAYLOR	TELFAIR	TERRELL	THOMAS	计	TOOMBS	TOWNS	TREUTLEN	TRAUP	TURNER	TWINGES	NOINI	NOING NOING	MA! Kno	WALKE WAR	というというというというというというというというというというというというというと



COUNTY		1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Appiling	Total Pregnancies	71)	58	56	79	51	56	55	45	45	55
	Fémale Population (age 15 to 19)	671	670	673	688	678	663	641	614	602	595
	Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	-105.8	86.6	83.2	114.8	75.2	84.5	85.8	73,3	74.8	92.4
Atkinson	Total Pregnancies	55	36	45	42	30	45	23	31	28	28
	Female Population (age 15 to 19)	271	280	287	313	272	270	269	274	274	274
	Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	203.0	128.6	156.8	134.2	110.3	166.7	85.5	113.1	102.2	102.2
Bacon	Total Pregnancles	38	37	31	42	348	25	20	33	.24	36
	Female Population (age 15 to 19)	415	425	425	372	348	328	328	303	308	295
	Rate of Pregnancles per 1,000	91.6	87.1	72.9	112.9	89.1	762	61.9	108.9	77.9	122.0
Baker	Total Pregnancies	12	12	8	11	12	10	4	5	3	9
	Female Population (age 15 to 19)	158	154	154	158	163	157	157	163	3	164
	Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	75.9	77.9	51.9	69.6	73.6	63.7	25.5	30.7	*	54.9
Baldwin	Total Pregnancles Female Population (age 15 to 19) Rate of Pregnancles per 1,000	130 1,544 84.2	133 1,502 88.5	1,504 94.4	124 1,878 66	196 1,726 61.4	36 1, €8 3 52'o	123 1,722 714	140 .12/77-1 79.1	113 1,920 - 58.9	733 2,087 63.7
Banks	Total Pregnancies	32	29	35	35	38	31	39	40	31	36
	Female Population (age 15 to 19)	432	452	462	470	460	450	453	452	474	489
	Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	74.1	64.2	75.8	74.5	82.6	68.9	86.1	88.5	65.4	73.6
Barrow	Total Pregnancies Female Population (age 15 to 19) Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	136 1,299 104.7	122 1,393 87.6	1,442 88.8	138 1,432 96.4	137 1,503 91.2	134 1,581 84.8	115 1,673 68.7	130 1,775 73.2	142 1,815 78.2	125 1,935 64.6

COUNTY			1998	1999	2000		2002	2003	2000	C C C	0
Bryan	Total Pregnancies Female Population (age 15 to 19) Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	.80 868 92.2	82 900 91:1	78 933 83.6	62 928 66.8		1,067 42:2	67 1,079 62.T	47 1,114 42.2	53 1,152 46.0	2006 39 1,129 34.5
Bulloch	Total Pregnancies Female Population (age 15 to 19) Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	161 3,311 48.6	174 3,410 51.0	174 3,428 50.8	161 3,745 43		173 2,698 64.1	146 2,710 53.9	183 2,990 61.2	156 3,710 42.0	203 4,265 47.6
Burke	Total Pregnancies Female Population (age 15 to 19) Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	102 867 117.6	102 887 115.0	115 896 128.3	122 942 129.5	115 965 119.2	93 911 102:1	97 918 105.7	7.78 889 87.7	105 853 123.1	89 822 108.3
Butts	Total Pregnancies Female Population (age 15 to 19) Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	67 571 117.3	58 599 96.8	75 621 120.8	65 625 104	4	61 656 93.0	59 658 89.7	57 670 85.1	57 692 82.4	63 694 90.8
Calhoun	Total Pregnancies Female Population (age 15 to 19) Rate of Pregnäncies per 1,000	22 206 106.8	253 123:2	22 199 110.6	78 207 87		18 195 .92.3	19 187 101:6	28 183	17 184 59.8	20 167 119.8
Camden	Total Pregnancies Female Population (age 15 to 19) Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	92 1,557 59.1	107 1,659 64.5	96 1,646 58.3	100 1,624 61.6	119 1,685 70.6	106 1,765 60.1	112 1,771 63.2	85 1,847 46.0	88 1,877 46.9	103 1,856 55.5
Candler	Total Pregnancies Female Population (age 15 to 19) Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	.31 .369 84.0	43 386 111.4	28 376 74.5	30 289 103.8	32 285 112.3	40 316 126.6	.44 332 132.5	48 340 141.2	45 F 327 137.6	339 339 115.0

COUNTY	>-	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	3000
Glafike	Total Pregnancies Female Population (age 15 to 19) Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	260 5,441 47.8	236 '5,439 43.4	267 5,444 49.0	248 6,026 41.2	248 5;015 49.7	207 4,845 42.7	4,427 50.4	216 4,705 45.9	208 5,807 35.8	248 9,214 26.9
Clay	Total Pregnancies	22	20	23	14	18	15	8	7	14	5
	Female Population (age 15 to 19)	123	123	124	133	125	126	122	125	118	115
	Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	178.9	162.6	185.5	105.3	144.0	119.0	65.6	56.0	118.6	43.5
Clayton	Total Pregnancies	816	777	782	787	785	770	751	798%	873	845
	Female Population (age 15 to 19)	'7,271	7,496	7,645	8,338	8,665	8,978	9,145	9,430	9,856	10,135
	Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	142.2	102.9	102.3	94.4	90,6	85.8	82.1	84.6	88.6	83.4
Clinch	Total Pregnancies	28	20	26	31	36	26	29	25	23	21
	Female Population (age 15 to 19)	285	293	290	264	250	244	244	246	263	263
	Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	98.2	68.3	89.7	117.4	144.0	106.6	118.9	101.6	87.5	79.8
Cobb	Total Pregnancies Female Population (age 15 to 19) Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	1215 16,378 74.2	1166 16,884 69.1	1166 17,371 67.1	1163 18,742 62.1	119,857 55:4	1140 20,468 557	1035 20,426 50.7	1131 20,640 54.8	1032 21,127 48.8	11/16 21,690 51.5
Coffee	Total Pregnancies	182	151	183	140	150	149	124	138	134	160
	Female Population (age 15 to 19)	1,362	1,409	1,433	1,428	1,400	1,391	1,359	1,346	1,386	1,386
	Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	133.6	107.2	127.7	98	107.1	107.1	91.2	102.5	96.7	115,4
Colquit	Total Pregnancies Female Population (age 15 to 19) Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	155 1,436 107.9	746 1,479 98.7	.164 1,496 109.6	.169 1,582 106.8	1,514 98.4	154 1,522 101.2	138 1,485 92.9	149 1,477 100.9	123 1,500 82.0	145 1,503 96.5

COUNTY		1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Becatur	Total Pregnancies Female.Population (age 15 to 19) Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	1.16 1,101 105.4	1,133 113.9	1,127 1,127 101,2	106 1,054 700.6	91 1 036 87.8	78 1,056 73.9	69 1,057 653	93 1,051 88,5	1,070 1,070 65.4	105 1,064 98.7
Dekalb	Total Pregnancies	2070	1860	1991	1,833	1763	1626	1640	1622	1650	1583
	Female Population (age 15 to 19)	19,775	20,237	20,281	21,163	20,743	20,459	20,758	21,145	21,736	23,469
	Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	104.7	91.9	98.2	86.6	85.0	79.5	79.0	76.7	75.9	67.5
Dodge	Total Pregnancies Female Population (age 15 to 19) Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	63 90.6	45 702 64.1	46 700 65.7	7.68 8.00 7.68	67 618 92.2	58 615 94,8	56 606 92.4	43 610 70.5	51 646 78.9	.55 635 86.6
Dooly	Total Pregnancies	62	50	58	55	53	54	35	30	37	34
	Female Population (age 15 to 19)	397	406	406	429	412	412	366	344	344	351
	Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	156.2	123.2	142.9	128.2	128.6	131.1	95.6	87.2	107.6	96.9
Dougherty	Total Pregnancles Female Population (age 15 to 19) Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	4,187 1.14.9	413 4대59 99.3	427 4,077 104.7	364 4,212 86.4	364 4,022 90'5	303 3,898 7,77	345 3,873 89.1	320 3,889 482.3	. 348 3,996 .87.1	384 4,067 94.4
Douglas	Total Pregnancies	235	236	226	246	236	216	229	239	219	264
	Female Population (age 15 to 19)	3,020	3,159	3,191	3,245	3,310	3,404	3,548	3,752	4,016	4,299
	Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	77.8	74.7	70.8	75.8	71.3	63.5	64.5	63.7	54.5	61.4
Early	Total Pregnancies	59	60	43	27	42	47	44	42	347	36
	Female Population (age 15 to 19)	469	482	477	472	486	487	488	477	454	452
	Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	125.8	124.5	90.1	57.2	86.4	96.5	90.2	88.1	68.3	79.6

COUNTY		1997	1998	1999	2000	2001		2003	2004	2005	3000
Floyd	Total Pregnancies Female Population (age 15'to 19) Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	260 3,103 83.8	252 3,148 80.1	260 3,146 82.6	284 3,267 86.9	281 3,232 86.9	249 3,223 77.3	261 3,246 80,4	257 3,319 77.4	224 3,298 67.9	297 3,434 86.5
Forsyth	Total Pregnancies Female Population (age 15 to 19) Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	122 2,386 51.1	132 2,791 47.3	144 3,145 45.8	148 2,405 61.5	123 2,784 44.2		156 3,393 46.0	143 3,747 38.2	3,896 43.9	4,146 41.5
Franklin	Tiotal Pregnancies Female Population (age*15 to 19) Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	.677 193.1	46 64.5 64.5	58 702 82.6	48 5755 63.6	53 748 70,9%		733 60.0	713 70.1	.46 690 66.7	55 712 77.2
Fulton	Total Pregnancies Female Population (age 15 to 19) Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	2960 25,325 116.9	2827 26,162 108.1	2833 26,204 108.1	2604 26,847 97	2338 26,369 88.7	2242 26,308 85.2	2028 26,135 77.6	1800 26,535 67.8	1849 29,947 61.7	1831 31,506 58.1
Glimer	Total Pregnancies Female Population (age 15 to 19) Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	73 566 129.0	82 585 140.2	619 98.5	7.4 690 107.2	59 727 81.2	78 767 101.7		72 754 95.5	77 714 107.8	67 714 93.8
Glascock	Total Pregnancies Female Population (age 15 to 19) Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	5 78 NA	6 80 NA	4 84 47.6	3 87 34.5	10 82 122.0	2 83 24.1	4 78 51.3	3 80 LNE	4 72 *	68 0.0
Glynn	Total Pregnancles Female Population (age 15 to 19) Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	168 2;242 74.9	206 2,279 90.4	2,289 90.0	.2,364 .69.8	163 2,377 68.6	756 2,386 65,4		.164 2,490 .65.9	172 2,479 69.4	. 205 2,504 81.9

COUNTY		1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Haraison	Total Pregnancies F Female Population (age 15 to 19) Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	73 818** 96.6	98 850 115.3	81 869 93.2	81 848 95.5	76 819 92.8	84,7 95:6	72 842 85.5	73 872 83.7	55 920 59.8	72 915 78.7
Harris	Total Pregnancies Female Population (age 15 to 19) Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	42 708 59.3	48 723 66.4	55 731 75.2	52 800 65	40 825 48.5	32 830 38.6	49 882 55.6	35 892 39.2	32 32 915 35.0	50 979 51.1
Нап	Total Pregnancies	.47	.46	52	44	47	41	61	44	32	37
	Female Population (age 15 to 19)	707	724	731	646	648	677	714.	704	725	716
	Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	66.5	63.5	71.1	68.1	72.5	60.6	854	62.5	44.1	51.7
Heard	Total Pregnancies	46	43	34	35	28	25	25	19	21	34
	Female Population (age 15 to 19)	391	402	419	357	353	387	375	413	377	389
	Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	117.6	107.0	81.1	98	79.3	64.6	66.7	46.0	55.7	87.4
Henry	Total Pregnancies	227	278	286	259	253	265	311	29 <u>9°</u>	.329	352
	Female Population (age 15 to 19)	3,168	3,446	3,733	3,790	4,311	4,730	5,181	5,576	5,829	6,124
	Rate of Pregnancies,per 1,000	71.7	80,7	71.3	68.3	58.7	56.0	60.0	53.6	56.4	57.5
Houston	Total Pregnancies	290	301	256	276	296	301	276	283	311	324
	Female Population (age 15 to 19)	3,536	3,588	3,622	4,289	4,335	4,529	4,705	4,777	4,829	4,839
	Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	82.0	83.9	70.7	64.4	68.3	66.5	58.7	59.2	64.4	67.0
Irwin	Total Pregnancies Female Population (age 15 to 19) Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	32 331 96 <i>9</i>	339. 88.5	26 345 75.4	39 379 102.9	23 383 60.1	26 381 68.2	33 364 90.7	,27 350 77.1	23 375 61.3	7 24 354 67.8

COUNTY		1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2002	2006
Lamar	Total Pregnancies Female Population (age 15 to 19) Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	63 604 104.3	65 627 103,7	43 633 67.9	41 749 57	44 694 63.4	29 682 42.5	34 603 56.4	47 624 75.3	48 634 75.7	34 747 45.5
Lanier	Total Pregnancies	19	18	22	26	22	21	. 18	22	18	21
	Female Population (age 15 to 19)	242	254	255	271	262	270	263	266	254	254
	Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	78.5	70.9	86.3	95.9	84.0	77.8	68.4	82.7	70.9	82.7
Laurens	Total Pregnancies Female Population (age 15 to 19) Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	167 1,533 108.9	159 1,566 101.5	117 1,564 74.8	133 1,638 81,2	1,617 94,0	185 1,620 83.3	121 1,632 74.1	174 1,619 70.4	178 1,585 74.4	1,549 83.3
Lee	Total Pregnancies	56	63	63	47	48	36	36	40	46	50
	Female Population (age 15 to 19)	875	919	942	1,023	1,102	1,120	1,166	1,202	1,239	1,290
	Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	64.0	68.6	66.9	45.9	43.6	32.1	30.9	33.3	37.1	38.8
Liberty	Total Pregnancies	266	239	235	267	247	221	198	210	.193	189
	Female Population (age 15,to 19)	- 1,928	1,906	1,919	2,292	2,175	2,299	2,140	2,326	2,255	2,465
	Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	- 138,0	125.4	122.5	116.5	118.6	100:0	92:5	90.3	85.6	76.7
Lincoln	Total Pregnancies	15	15	18	20	18	18	19	20	11	18
	Female Population (age 15 to 19)	278	286	287	268	286	287	289	299	294	296
	Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	54.0	52.4	62.7	74.6	62.9	62.7	65.7	66.9	37.4	60.8
Long	Total Pregnancles Female Population (age:15:to.19) Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	32 355 90.1	.977 .986.9	29 381 76.1	39 475 82.1	42 43 <u>1</u> 97.4	30 411 73.0	20 389 5174	34 372 91.4	302 367 81.7	361 44.3


COUNTY		1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Meriwether	Total Pregnancles Female Population (age 15 to 19) Rate of Pregnancles per 1,000	90 902 99.8	84 909 92.4	93 899 103:4	803 99.6	85 802 106:0	79. 792. 99.7	68 810 84.0	65 850 76:5		69 829 83.2
Miller	Total Pregnancies Female Population (age 15 to 19) Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	18 210 85.7	18 217 82.9	20 215 93.0	20 238 84	18 228 78.9	74 14 249 56.2	19 235 80.9	5 233 21.5	7 209 33.5	21 204 102.9
Mitchell	Total Pregnancies Female Population (age 15 to 19) Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	88 806 86	90. 916 98.3	83 911 91.1	89 885 100.6	891 90.9	67 848 79.0	54 836 646	68, 809 84.1	.69 763 90.4	72 773 93.1
Monroe	Total Pregnancies Female Population (age 15 to 19) Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	50 696 71.8	65 716 90.8	64 732 87.4	73 741 98.5	55 761 72.3	54 806 67.0	50 844 59.2	49 804 60.9	43 846 50.8	41 836 49.0
Montgomery	Total Pregnancles Female Population (age 15 to 19) Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	. 24 366 65.6	.19 .380 50.0	28 387 72.4	37 326 95.1	28 345 87.2		26 364 71.4	22 382 57.6	ાક 364 41.2	364 41.2
Morgan	Total Pregnancies Female Population (age 15 to 19) Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	45 526 85.6	53 557 95.2	50 562 89.0	42 513 81.9	34 515 66.0	30 512 58.6	30 536 56.0	39 545 71.6	27 552 48.9	30 600 50.0
Murray	Total Pregnancies Female Population (age 15, to 19) Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	1,174 120.1	145 1,212 149:6	1,259 82.6	126 1,241 101.5	131 1,257 104.2	129 1,264 102.1	131 1,257 104.2	737 1,295 101.2	145 1,247 116.3	140 1,241 112.8

COUNTY		1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2002	2006
Pierce	Total Pregnancies Female Population (age 15 to 19) Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	44 617 713	54 640 84.4	54 635 80,3	54 556 97.1	51 568 89.8	50 577 86.7	44 572, 76.9	.59. 575 102.6	54 536 100.7	744 505 87.1
Pike	Total Pregnancies Female Population (age 15 to 19) Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	38 420 90.5	37 436 84.9	37 454 81.5	30 455 65.9	31 464 66.8	36 503 71.6	25 533 46.9	30 567 52.9	30 560 53.6	25 274 574 43.6
Polk	Total Pregnancles Female Population (age 15 to 19) Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	1,244 1,244 118.2	138 1,264 109.2	155 1,270 122.0	153 1,334 114,7	146 1,328 109.9	150 1,294 115.9	134 1,28.1 104.6	123 1,313 93.7	128 1,283 93.5	1,287 87.0
Pulaski	Total Pregnancies Female Population (age 15 to 19) Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	17 309 55.0	36 316 113.9	32 314 101.9	24 321 74.8	30 299 100.3	26 312 83.3	18 313 57.5	15 302 49.7	22 324 67.9	18 335 53.7
Putnam	Total Pregnancies Female Ropulation (age 15 to 19) Rafe of Prêgnancies per 1,000	63 576 109:4	53 592 106.4	63 610 103:3	63 622 101.3	49 65 <u>1</u> 75.3	31 639 48.5	51 655 77.9	53- 635 83.5	65 606 107.3	54 574 94.1
Quitman	Total Pregnancies Female Population (age 15 to 19) Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	10 84 119.0	о 83 А	8 80 100.0	10 89 112.4	3 85 35.3	10 85 117.6	11 81 135.8	8 81 98.8	11 81 135.8	8 86 93.0
Rabun	Total Pregnancies Female Population (age 15 to 19) Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	36 430 83.7	25 435. 67.5	.26 447 58.2	33 428 77.1	29 436 66.5	34 479 71.0	.35 489 71.6	36 483 74.5	34 477 65.0	468 49.1

COUNTY		1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Stephens	Total Pregnancies	.78	968	72	54	75	2.99	58	44	60	57
	Female Population (age 15 to 19)	885	896	888	915	878	2.58	838	832	896	914
	Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	88,1	7.8.7	81.1	59	85.4	5.09	69.2	52.9	67.0	55.8
Stewart	Total Pregnancies	22	17	25	10	11	19	12	11	14	13
	Female Population (age 15 to 19)	190	193	186	155	162	150	144	145	157	146
	Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	115.8	88.1	134.4	64.5	67.9	126.7	83.3	75.9	89.2	89.0
Sumter	Total Pregnancies Female Population (age 15 to 19) Rate of Pregnanciés per 1,000	138 1,383 99.8	137 1,381 99.2	139 1,374 101.2	1,345 74.3**	134 11,285 104:3	120 1,257 95.5	87 1,300 669	114 1,247 91.4	1,298 85.5	1,318 1,318 81.9
Talbot	Total Pregnancies	28	20	19	27	25	21	13	15	13	19
	Female Population (age 15 to 19)	251	253	249	215	200	191	180	205	219	217
	Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	111.6	79.1	76.3	125.6	125.0	109.9	72.2	73.2	59.4	87.6
Tallaferro	Total Pregnancies Female Population (age 15 to 19) Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	S Z S	o z v	7 72 97.2	.3 62 48.4	60.6 60.6	63 95:2	2 30:8	11 69 159.4	\$.60 *	5 53 94.3
Tattnall	Total Pregnancies	83	92	70	65	68	67	76	72	64	68
	Female Population (age 15 to 19)	627	635	641	652	669	673	672	694	682	660
	Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	132.4	144.9	109.2	99.7	101.6	99.6	113.1	103.7	93.8	103.0
Taylor	Total Pregnancies	31	35	32,	29	75	25	15	21	7 <u>9</u>	76
	Female Population (age 15 to 19)	357	3 <u>67</u>	367	306	288	298	318	326	292	308
	Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	86.8	95.4	87.2	94.8	52.1	83.9	47.2	64.4	65.1	51.9

COUNTY		1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
-	Female Population (age 15 to 19) Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	2,142 100.8	234 2,191 106.8	246 2.186 112.5	207 	2,177 99.7	794 2,205 88.0	183 2,208 82.9	193 2,236 86,3		2,279 79.4
	lotal Pregnancies Female Population (age 15 to 19) Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	41 362 113.3	30 365 82.2	36 368 97.8	50 394 126.9	42 391 107.4	37 380 97.4	31 380 81.6	33 370 89.2		347 86.5
Twiggs	Figural Pregnancies Female Population (age 15 to 19) Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	360 [%] 108.3	360 86.1	361 113.6	416 74.5	33. 420 78.6	407 407 63.9	(23) (407) 56.5	327 399 67.7	35 7 357 98.0	31 330 93.9
	lotal Pregnancies Female Population (age 15 to 19) Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	30 435 69.0	29 453 64.0	32 473 67.7	29 474 61.2	32 492 65.0	20 495 40.4	21 508 41.3	27 528 51.1	Self-	539 68.6
Upson	ग्राo(a) Regnancies Female Population (age:15.to/19) Rate of Pregnancies per-1,000	123.9°	915 102.7	85 916 92.8	861 112.7	95 892 1065	867 897 95.9	166 889 74:2	364 924 69.3		76 862 88.2
Walker	Total Pregnancies Female Population (age 15 to 19) Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	202 1,995 101.3	191 2,050 93.2	178 2,047 87.0	164 1,979 82.9	157 1,973 79.6	167 1,953 85.5	145 1,989 72.9	136 1,995 68.2	1,998 77.6	164 2,005 81.8
Walton	Total Pregnancies Female Population (age 15 to 19) Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	1,827 90.9	1,968 1,968 7,5.7	7,120 2,120 80.2	2,087 71.9	2,131 70.4	2,206 67.5	2748 2,315 63.9	7.458 65.5	1 64 2,494 65.8	186 2,553 72.9

COUNTY		1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2002	2006
Whitfield	Total Pregnancies	313	321	351-	335	362	314	309	315	309	312
	Female Population (age 15 to 19)	2,917	2,983	3,015	2,820	2,811	2,850	2;844	2,853	2,844	2,887
	Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	107.3	107.6	116.4	118.8	128.8	110.2	108-6	110.4	108.6	108.1
Wilcox	Total Pregnancies	33	29	20	14	35	15	24	16	19	25
	Female Population (age 15 to 19)	248	246	248	261	265	257	269	251	246	225
	Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	133.1	117.9	80.6	53.6	132.1	58.4	89.2	63.7	77.2	111.1
Wilkes	Total Pregnancies Female Population (age 15 to 19) Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	40 334 (19.8	44 332 132.5	40 329 121.6	29 396 73.2	31 376 82.4	. 19. .375. 	.28 367 76.3	35 347 100.9		26 312 83.3
Wilkinson	Total Pregnancies	43	36	38	31	38	25	35	21	31	25
	Female Population (age 15 to 19)	411	413	410	422	421	389	382	370	356	348
	Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	104.6	87.2	92.7	73.5	90.3	64.3	91.6	56.8	87.1	71.8
Worth	(Total Pregnancies	65	64	.69	7,1	61	58	. 59	65	52	42
	Female Population (age 15 to 19)	903	919	916	887	858	83.7	. 828	835	896	885
	Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	72.0	69.6	75.3	80	71.1	63.3	. 71.3	77.8	58.0	47.5
Georgia	Total Pregnancies	24,549	23,831	23,856	23,150	22,586	21,437	21,040	21,098	21,058	22,083
	Female Population (age 15 to 19)	265,581	273,179	277,032	286,463	287,916	292,120	296,639	303,451	314,220	326,722
	Rate of Pregnancies per 1,000	92.4	87.2	86.1	80.8	78.4	73.4	70.9	69.5	67.0	67.6



TANF SANCTIONS

State Fiscal Year 2007

COUNTY	CLIENTS WITH REDUCTIONS APPLIED in SFY2007	CLIENTS WITH CLOSURES SFY2007
APPLING	0	0
ATKINSON	0	0
BACON	cel,	0
BAKER BALDWIN	0	0
BANKS		
BARROW	0	0
BARTOW	0	0
BEN HULL	0	1
BERRIEN	0	A. A. A. A. A. B.
3(88) s	6	1
BLECKLEY	1	l
BRANTLEY	4	0
BROOKS	0	0
3RYAN	0	0
BULLOCH	0	14
BURKE	6	8
BUTTS	3	0
CALHOUN	1	9
CAMDEN	The second secon	0
ANDLER	0	3
CARROLL	0	2
ATOOSA	0	
HARLTON HATHAM	0	1
HATTAHOOCHEE	4	4
HATTOOGA	0	0
HEROKEE	0	0
LARKE	2	0
LAY	2	5
LAYTON	3	1
LINCH		2
088	0 20	0
OFFEE	The state of the s	5
OLQUATT	0 2	0
OLUMBIA	0	0
OOK	0	2
OWETA	2	0
RAWFORD	1	6
RISP	2	0
NDE	TOTAL PROPERTY AND	2

TANF SANCTIONS State Fiscal Year 2007

COUNTY	CLIENTS WITH REDUCTIONS APPLIED in SFY2007	CLIENTS WITH CLOSURES SFY2007
COUNTY		3. 12007
JOHNSON	0 :	
JONES	2	6
LAMAR *****	0.	1 1
LANIER	0	0
LAURENS	4	15
LEE LIBERTY	0	O
LINCOLN	1	24
LONG	0	0
LOWNDES		0
LUMPKIN	0	1
MACON	0	1
MADISON	1	0
MARION	0	0
MODUFFIE	0	3
MCINTOSH		0
MERIWETHER	10	5
MILLER	0	0
MITCHELL	1. The second of the second	$\ddot{0}$
MONROE	0	1
MONTGOMERY,	0	0
MORGAN	0	0
MURRAY	1	0
MUSCOGEE	45	42
NEWTON OCONEE	1	2, 2,
ÖGLETHORPE	0	0
PAULDING	0	0
PEACH	0	0
PICKENS	0	27
PIEROE	4	0
PIKE	0	AND THE RESIDENCE OF THE PERSON OF THE PERSO
POLK	4	0
PULASKI	2	8
PUTNAM	2	0
QUITMAN	0	0
BABUN	6 0	0
RANDOLPH	3	5
RICHMOND	5	14
ROCKDALE	O TO THE PARTY OF	1
SCHLEY	0.	0

K. The Number of Legal Immigrants Receiving TANF Benefits by Category of Immigration Status

LEGAL IMMIGRANTS RECEIVING TANF BY IMMIGRATION STATUS


State Fiscal Year 2007

Name	ELIGIBLE ALIEN	REFUGEE	ENTRANT AFTER 8/22/96	PAROLEE AFTER 8/22/96	PERMANENT RESIDENT	TOTAL NON- CITIZEN
APPLING ATKINSON				appendig at 1		0
BACON			71			0 0
BAKER BALDWIN	ψ_{f}					0 1
BANKS					i i	0
BARKOW BARTOW						0 0
BEN AULE						0
BERRIEN BIEB		3				0 1 3
BLECKLEY BRANTLEY						0
BROOKS					na im Ā	0 0
BRYAN BULLOCH					754	0
BURKE					Landon State of	
BUTTS CALHOUN					4	0 0
CAMDEN CANDLER		**************************************				0
CARROLL						0. ¹
OATOOSA CHARLTON	21			-91 (e	Al All and	0
CHATHAM		3			2	5 80
CHATTAHOOCHEE CHATTOOGA						0
CHEROKEE CLARKE		2			2	4
CLAY				oi		0 0
GLAYION CLINCH	5.	i. T			8	20
CIÓRE	8 - 7	i i	1		18	0 7 . 224
COFFEE						0
COLUMBIA			The second secon	and the second of the second o		0
COUK COWETA						0
CRAWFORE						(i) (i) (ii)
CRISP					1	1

LEGAL IMMIGRANTS RECEIVING TANF BY IMMIGRATION STATUS

State Fiscal Year 2007

	ELIGIBLE		ENTRANT AFTER	PAROLEE AFTER	PERMANENT	TOTAL NON-
Name	ALIEN	REFUGEE	8/22/96	8/22/96	RESIDENT	CITIZEN
JEFFERSON						0. 4
JENKINS						0
JOHNSON					e Marco (V.)	.0
JONES		to a service to the service of	Salaris va Biene Lewis va d			0
LAMAR LANIER	A Section of the Control of the Cont			1.0		0
LAURENS						0
LEE	是 的复数经验检查 医二种				则的"主义"是" 是 "就是"为"的主义	0
LIBERTY	2			12 16 20 20 24 3	4	3
LINCOLN			PROCESSION OF THE PROPERTY AND A		CONTROL CONTRO	0
LONG			100			
LOWNDES				Editor (larica de 21 con 1900)		0
LIMPRIN	1	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				1
MACON MADISON			N Company			0
MARION			in the same	4.04		0
MODUFFIE					(4)2/3/2/3/2/3/2/1/3/	0 0
MCINTOSH			在4.50年间的 经 产的证据,但是1000年度			0
MERIWETHER				# #	1.00	0
MILLER			COMMUNICATION CONTROLLER CONTROL	A CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR DO MACAMALANA	SAMPLE AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE	0
MITCHELL				16 15 54		- 0
MONROE	21-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-1					0
MONTGOMERY						0
MORGAN MURRAY						0
MUSCOGEE	4	4			4	2
NEWTON		4 77.			4	8 - 8
OCONEE			地位于我的发展中国共享的企业	E TO THE STATE OF		0
OGLETHORPE						0
PAULDING		7,111,111	COMPONENT LINE PROPERTY SOURCE SON	THE ACTION WE SHOULD STREET THE		0
PEACH						0
PICKENS						.0
PIERCE			en december			0)
PIKE		5 PA 23 S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S				0
POLK PULASKI			Transfer a			9
PUTNAM				li de la companya di santa di		0
QUITMAN						.0
RABUN	201					0
RANDOLPH			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	r - Thill fell the stool of		0
		·	1			



TANF FAMILIES NO LONGER ELIGIBLE BECAUSE OF TIME LIMITS

As of January 01, 2001 thru June 30, 2007

COUNTY	Number Of Families	COUNTY	Number Of Families	COUNTY	Number Of Families	COUNTY	Number Of Families
APPLING	0	DODGE	16	LIBERTY	22	TAYLOR	9
ATKINSON	2	DOOLY	6	LINCOLN	2	TELFAIR	14
BACON	1 1	DOUGHERTY	219	LONG	10	TERRELL	82
BAKER	2	DOUGLAS	16	LOWNDES	48	THOMAS	51
BALDWIN	39	EARLY	35	LUMPKIN	0	TIFT	39
BANKS	3	ECHOLS	1 1	MACON	11	TOOMBS	11
BARROW	0	EFFINGHAM	8	MADISON	10	TOWNS	0
BARTOW	4	ELBERT	5	MARION	4	TREUTLEN	5
BEN HILL	19	EMANUEL	29	MCDUFFIE	39	TROUP	25
BERRIEN	7	EVANS	2	MCINTOSH	0	TURNER	3
BIBB	273	FANNIN	0	MERIWETHER	6	TWIGGS	11
BLECKLEY	9	FAYETTE	9	MILLER	2	UNION	0
BRANTLEY	2	FLOYD	15	MITCHELL	55	UPSON	11
BROOKS	9	FORSYTH	2	MONROE	3	WALKER	3
BRYAN	1 1	FRANKLIN	1 1	MONTGOMERY	4	WALTON	20
BULLOCH	17	FULTON	1300	MORGAN	2	WARE	23
BURKE	27	GILMER	0	MURRAY	1 1	WARREN	14
BUTTS	3	GLASCOCK	0	MUSCOGEE	250	WASHINGTON	31
CALHOUN	9	GLYNN	20	NEWTON	24	WAYNE	10
CAMDEN	2	GORDON	1 1	OCONEE	1	WEBSTER	1
CANDLER	2	GRADY	10	OGLETHORPE	5	WHEELER	_± 1
CARROLL	9	GREENE	7	PAULDING	1	WHITE	6
CATOOSA	0	GWINNETT	46	PEACH	56	WHITFIELD	3
CHARLTON	0	HABERSHAM	4	PICKENS	0	WILCOX	2
CHATHAM	90	HALL	30	PIERCE	9	WILKES	10
CHATTAHOOCHEE	1 1	HANCOCK	20	PIKE	0	WILKINSON	10
CHATTOOGA	2	HARALSON	4	POLK	0	WORTH	45
CHEROKEE	1	HARRIS	4	PULASKI	17		1
CLARKE	57	HART	12	PUTNAM	9	STATE	4,877
CLAY	5	HEARD	0	QUITMAN	2		
CLAYTON	104	HENRY	19	RABUN	1 1		
CLINCH	3	HOUSTON	85	RANDOLPH	12		
COBB	97	IRWIN	5	RICHMOND	326		
COFFEE	7	JACKSON	1 1	ROCKDALE	9		
COLQUITT	45	JASPER	6	SCHLEY	0	1	
COLUMBIA	12	JEFF DAVIS	3	SCREVEN	28		
COOK	35	JEFFERSON	18	SEMINOLE	18		
COWETA	11	JENKINS	13	SPALDING	7		
CRAWFORD	1 1	JOHNSON	11	STEPHENS	12]	
CRISP	35	JONES	2	STEWART	14		
DADE	0	LAMAR	0	SUMTER	113		
DAWSON	1 1	LANIER	6	TALBOT	14		
DECATUR	24	LAURENS	39	TALIAFERRO	2		
DEKALB	275	LEE	2	TATTNALL	10		<u> </u>

M. Follow-up Information on Job Retention and Earnings

FOLLOW-UP INFORMATION ON JOB RETENTION AND EARNINGS

Adult Recipients Exited from July 2005 through June 2006

	Adult	Employed	d at Exit	Employ Mor		Quarterly W	ages, 6 Months
County	Leavers	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Average	Total
APPLING	8	6	75.0%	5	62.5%	\$2,158	\$10,788
ATKINSON	2	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	\$2,679	\$2,679
BACON	4	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	\$2,360	\$4,719
BAKER	9	2	22.2%	4	44.4%	\$2,754	\$11,014
BALDWIN	154	103	66.9%	105	68.2%	\$2,494	\$261,861
BANKS	14	3	21.4%	2	14.3%	\$284	\$569
BARROW	21	12	57.1%	12	57.1%	\$1,726	\$20,710
BARTOW	20	11	55.0%	11	55.0%	\$2,676	\$29,432
BENHLL	20,	10	50.0%	6	30.0%	\$922	\$15,566
BERRIEN	6	3	50.0%	4	66.7%	\$1,082	\$4,327
BIBB	951 🧀	537	56.5%	551	57.9%	\$2,064	311,1397,1419 V
BLECKLEY	56	32	57.1%	37	66.1%	\$2,497	\$92,399
BRANTLEY	13	5,	38.5%	7	53.8%	\$2,736	\$19,150
BROOKS	7		0.0%	0	0.0%	\$0	\$0
BRYANDOR	15	. 5	33.3%	6	40.0%	\$2,698	\$16,187
BULLOCH	93	57	61.3%	49	52.7%	\$2,059	\$100,878
BURKE	129	56	43.4%	60	46.5%	\$2,433	\$145,998
BUTTS	23	15	65.2%	15	65.2%	\$2,975	\$44,629
CALHOUN	19	9	47.4%	11	57,9%	\$2,191	\$24,150
CAMDEN	40	22	55.0%	18	45.0%	\$2,184	\$39,305
CANDLER	AND SHARE STREET, STRE	. 11	68,8%	10	62.5%	\$2,360	\$23,602
CARROLL	141	62	44.0%	66	46.8%	\$2,781	\$183,579
CATOOSA	15	4	26.7%	4	26,7%	\$1,955	57 (922)
CHARLTON	11	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	\$0	\$0
CHATHAM	368	260	70,7%	255	69.3%	\$2,437	\$621,416
CHATTAHOOCHEE	14	8	57.1%	7	50.0%	\$2,127	\$14,886
The state of the s	/16	7	43.8%	6	37.5%	\$6,936	\$41,578
CHEROKEE	67	39	58.2%	41	61.2%	\$2,682	\$109,978
CLARKE	292	188	64.4%	183	62.0%	\$2,578	\$466,645
CLAY	50	10	20.0%	7	14.0%	\$1,904	\$13,325
DEAYFON	1.074	639	59.5%	592	515,1%	\$2,959	\$1,751,574
CLINCH	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	\$0	\$0
COBB	984	560	56.9%	593	60.3%	3 8 182	\$1,886,714
OFFEE	8	6	75.0%	5	62.5%	\$3,709	\$18,544
OLOUIT	114	63	55.3%	63	-55.3%	\$2,299	3144,844
COLUMBIA	35	16	45.7%	17	48.6%	\$2,648	\$45,013
IOOK .	35	21	60.0%	23	65.7%	\$2,223	1 ⁸⁵ 1 161,148
OWETA	69	39	56.5%	36	52.2%	\$2,353	\$84,709
TRAWEDRO	13	5	38.5%	- 6	46.2%	\$3,041	\$18,246
RISP	81	53	65.4%	56	69.1%	\$1,584	\$88,718
ADE (A)	Ö	0 /	0.0%	0	0.0%	\$0	\$0

FOLLOW-UP INFORMATION ON JOB RETENTION AND EARNINGS

Adult Recipients Exited from July 2005 through June 2006

	Adult	Employed	l at Exit	Employ Mor	ed at 6 oths	Quarterly W	ages, 6 Months
County	Leavers	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Average	Total
JENKINS	54	25	46.3%	26	48.1%	\$1,829	\$47,554
JOHNSON	24	16	62.5%	17	70.8%	\$2,022	\$34,380
JONES	85	43	50:6%	40	47.1%	\$2,325	\$92,993
LAMAR	11	4	36.4%	5	45.5%	\$2,799	\$13,995
LANIER	2	2	100.0%	1	50.0%	\$576	\$576
LAURIENS	163	97	59.5%	89	54,6%	\$1,953	\$173,802
LEE	6	3	50.0%	3	50.0%	\$1,845	\$5,534
LUBERTY	247	138	55.9%	129	52,2%	\$2,255	\$290,831
LINCOLN	16	8	50.0%	8	50.0%	\$1,761	\$14,088
LONG	28	13	46,4%	14	50.0%	\$2,281	\$31,940
LOWNDES	132	80	60.6%	73	55.3%	\$1,903	\$138,938
LUMPKIN	23	15	65.2%	19	82.6%	\$2,638	\$50,118
MACON	14	4	28.6%	8	57.1%	\$1,798	\$14,383
MADISON	14	4	28.6%	5	35,7%	\$2,389	\$11,947
MARION	5	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	\$0	\$0
MCDUEFIE	- 51	31	60.8%	28	54.9%	\$2,159	\$60,453
MCINTOSH	11	7	63.6%	9	81.8%	\$2,435	\$21,916
MERIWETHER	46	22	47.8%	25	54.3%	\$1,841	\$46,030
MILLER	28	11	39.3%	12	42.9%	\$1,632	\$19,580
MITCHELL	85	38	44.7%	35	41.2%	\$1,827	\$63,954
MONROE	29	14	48.3%	14	48.3%	\$2,504	\$35,057
MONTGOMERY	31	10	32.3%	13	41.9%	\$2,217	\$28,819
MORGAN	26	.17	65.4%	19	73.1%	\$2,074	\$39,405
MURRAY	2.70		42.9%	3	42.9%	\$405	\$1,216
MUSCOGEE	1,160	582	50.2%	578	49.8%	\$2,160	\$1,248,594
NEWTON	232	94	40.5%	95	40.9%	\$2.865	\$272,212
OCONEE	11	7	63.6%	5	45.5%	\$1,641	\$8,204
OGLETHORPE	11	6	54.5%	6	54.5%	\$1,992	\$11,952
PAULDING	30	13	43.3%	12	40.0%	\$2,306	\$27,677
PEAGH	143	24	56.6%	82	57.3%	\$2,174	\$178,233
PICKENS	8	6	75.0%	5	62.5%	\$2,517	\$12,586
PIERCE	B)		37.5%	A COL	50.0%	\$1,978	\$7,913
PIKE	14	7	50.0%	8	57.1%	\$1,365	\$10,918
POLK	18		50.0%	7. 10.	38.9%	\$1,357	\$9,500
PULASKI	55	36	65.5%	37	67.3%	\$2,582	\$95,539
PUTNAM	55 51	37	72,5%	37 30	58,8%	\$2,566	\$76,981
QUITMAN	South State Company Company Company	PERSONAL PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PERSON	the state of the s	COMPANIENCE CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE P	Crystal Service Committee of	1	Committee of the commit
THE RESIDENCE OF THE PERSON OF	15	4	26.7% 33.3%	0	0.0%	\$0	\$0
RABUN	9	3	THAT THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY	9.1	44.4%	\$1,177	\$4,707
RANDOLPH	60	22	36.7%	21	35.0%	\$2,064	\$43,354
RICHMOND	879	438	49.8%	424	48.2%	\$2,315	~\$981,694

N. An Evaluation of the Effect of Code Section 49-4-186 On the Number of Births to TANF Recipients

FAMILY CAP CASES AND CHILDREN State Fiscal Year 2007

		FAI	FAMILY CAP	CAP CASES			FAM	EAMILY CAP CUIL DOEN	ישווי ססבאו	
	1		- - -	ı		in the		ייי ייי	יווניטעכוי	
	Number		Total			Number		Total		
	Family		Family			Family		Family	Δνατοσο	
	Сар		Cap			Cap		, מהיי	Monthly	
	Cases as	Total	Cases	TANF	Percent of	Children		Children	TANE	Darrant of
	of	Added	Served in		TANF	as of		Served in	Children	TANEDER
County	7/1/06	in SFY07	SFY07	٠.	Caseload	7/1/06	in SFY07	SFY07	SFY 2007	Recinients
APPĽING	. 2		3	7.5	4.2%	3		The state of the s	1002 10	Callication of the second
ATKINSON	0	0	0	31	%0.0	C	C		200	0,000
BACON	. 0	0		42	90.0	Ü			40	0.0%
BAKER	-	-	2	17	11.8%	-	-	0 0	978	0.0%
BALDWIN	8	15	23	163	14.1%	Jan Uk	78	7	23 20 E.S. T.M.	8.7%
BANKS	0	0	C	37			2 0	20	5007	10.1%
BARROW	4		9	116	7/S:0		C CONTRACTOR		င္ပင	%0.0
BARTOW	5	2	7	210	2 20/	1		o i	17.9	2:8%
BEN FIRE	8	0		210	3.2.70	C	2	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	357	2.0%
REBRIEN	4	- 0			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	D	7	1	151	7.3%
DICT.	ACT CO	Z	3	53	5.7%	1	2	က	88	3.4%
		2	56.	0775	25.2%	180	104	284	14325	21.4%
DELCKE!	0		9	49	12.2%	9	0	9	80	7.5%
	0	5 0	n n	43	%0:0	0	0	0	61	0.0%
DROCKS	7	2	4	62	6.5%	က	2	သ	66	5.1%
	1	0		35	2,9%	2	0	. 2	51	3.9%
BULLOCA	8	ω.	16	212	7.5%	6	œ	17	331	5.1%
MANAGE AND DELLAR	9)	(C)	29	135	21.5%	23	15	38	226	16.8%
20113	7	7	4	54	7.4%	3	7	2	81	6.2%
	5 (Z	29	41.4%	©	4	1. 20	50	34.0%
CANCEN CANCEN	5	0	3	75	4.0%	3	0	က	118	2.5%
		7	eo	20	5.1%		2	4	102	3.9%
CARROLL	14	12	26	376	%6:9	18	15	33	602	5.5%
		D		88	3/6/1		0		136	0.7%
のころによっている。	O	0	0	30	%0.0	0	0	0	51	%0.0
	4	SS C	38	949	14.6%	23	Z,	107	890	12.0%
	0 4	0	0	22	%0.0	0	0	0	32	0.0%
E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E	n n	0	. 0	99	%0.0	10	0.	07	86	%9.0 ·

FAMILY CAP CASES AND CHILDREN State Fiscal Year 2007

		FAN	FAMILY CAP	CAP CASES			FAM	FAMILY CAP CHILDREN	HILDREN	
rige à	Number		Total			Number		Total		
	Family		Family	Average		Family		Family	Average	
	Сар		Сар	Monthly		Cap		Cap	Monthly	
	Cases as		Cases	TANF	Percent of	Children		Children	TANF	Percent of
	oę	Added	Served in	Caseload	TANF	as of		Served in	Children	TANF Child
County	2/1/06	in SFY07	SFY07	SFY 2007	Caseload	2/1/06	in SFY07	SFY07	SFY 2007	Recipients
FANNIN	0	0	0	B 200	. %0.0	0	1.0	1 0	45	%0.0
FAYETTE	_	_	2	104	1.9%	_	1	2	152	1.3%
FLOYD	15	8	23	<u> 26</u> 2	7.9%	15	6	24	466	5.2%
FORSYTH	_	0	τ-	61	1.6%	1	0	-	86	1.0%
FRANKLIN			2	09	3.3%		1	2	- 26	2.1%
FULTON	368	353	721	3,472	20.8%	465	473	938	6,130	15.3%
GILMER	2	0	2	33	6.5%	2	٥	2	49	4.1%
GLASCOCK	2	0	2	10	20.0%	2	0	2	21	9.5%
DELYNN.	6	12	27	226	9,3%	13.	14	27	362	7.5%
GORDON	-	2	က	128	2.3%	2	2	4	214	1.9%
GRADA	œ	7	15	92	16.3%		14	.25	145	17.2%
GREENE	3	က	9	50	12.0%	4	က	7	78	%0.6
GWINNETT	13	11	26	573	4.5%	47	2)	.29	982	3.0%
HABERSHAM	-	က	4	45	8.9%	1	4	5	70	7.1%
HALL	6	9	15	282	5,3%	13	۲	20	479	4.2%
HANCOCK	3	က	ၑ	35	17.1%	2	3	8	58	13.8%
HARALSON		2	၈	88	8.4%		2	3.	135	2.2%
HARRIS	2	2	7	49	14.3%	2	5	7	79	8.9%
HAR	S	6	80	92	8.7%	9	£	6	147	6.1%
HEARD	_	-	2	46	4.3%	1	-	2	73	2.7%
HENRY	0	10	19	368	5.2%	112	13	25	587	4.3%
HOUSTON	34	42	76	406	18.7%	44	55	66	678	14.6%
IRWIN	1	0	L	35	2.9%	Ļ	0		49	2.0%
JACKSON	2	1	က	106	2.8%	2	-	3	170	1.8%
JASPER	2	0	2	53	-3.6%	2,4,5	0	2	83	2.4%
JEFF DAVIS	2	3	5	46	10.9%	င	4	7	69	10.1%
JEFFERSON	13		20 ×	- 38	20.4%	20	1.0	30	176	17.0%

FAMILY CAP CASES AND CHILDREN State Fiscal Year 2007

			ш	0.0						
		FA	FAMILY CAP	CAP CASES		4-6M/s	FAM	FAMILY CAP CHILDREN	HILDREN	
	Number		Total			Number		Total		
	Family		Family			Family		Family	000000	
	Сар		Cap	Monthly		Cap		Can	Monthly	=847/11/7
	Cases as	Total	Cases		Percent of	Children	Total	Children	TANE	Dercent of
	of	Added	Served in	Caseload	TANF	as of	Added	Served in		TANE OF IN
County	2/1/06	in SFY07	SFY07	٠,١	Caseload	2/1/06	in SFY07	SFY07		Recipients
OGLETHORPE	1	0.		- 39	2.6%	, A	0		. P.4	79.3
PAULDING	-	2	3	146	2.1%	_	2	3	237	1 30%
PEACH	96	9	22	127	17.3%	20	Section 1	84	208	0/ C:
PICKENS	0	0	0	37	%0.0	0	C	0	57	0000
PIERCE	D .	0	. 0	47	%0.0	0	0	o lo	67	0.0.0 0.0.0
PIKE	3	0	က	42	7.1%	4	0	4	7.2	5.6%
POLK	හ	2	9	419	4.2%	3	7	o	100	0.0.0
PULASKI	4	5	o	59	15.3%	5	9	11	97	11.20/
POHNAM	4	7		- 75	14.7%	5	8	43	118	0/ C:
QUITMAN	-	-	2	17	11.8%	-	_	2	28	7.1%
KABUN	0			17	5.9%	0			26	2 80%
RANDOLPH	ထ	7	15	64	23.4%	10	12	22	112	19.6%
RICHMOND	06	118	208	1,029	26.2%	124	170	294	ME 11.7/4 s	47.2%
ROCKDALE	7	11	18	217	8.3%	o	11	20	354	5.6%
SOUTH THE STATE OF	September 1	1	2	1000	18.2%	1		2	15	13.3%
SCREVEN	6	10	19	86	19.4%	11	14	25	170	14.7%
OF MINOR F	N.	7 0	4	48	8.3%	7	- - -	6	76	10.5%
OLALDING TITOTICS	14	7.7	36	285	12.6%	15	24	39	468	8.3%
ON THE THE OF TH	5 ·	9	5)	- 38	9.2%	(9)	6	6)	160	5.6%
S EWAK		2	က	29	10.3%	-	4	5	35	14.3%
DOWNER THE	96	30	19	243	27.6%	44	. 34	7.8	405	19.3%
I ALBO I	∞ «	4	12	44	27.3%	10	5	15	89	22.1%
TATING	0,70	10	0.	8	0.0%	0	0	0	1.1	0.0%
TALLINALL TALLINALL	71	/	19	87	21.8%	15	7	22	142	15.5%
TELEVIE	3 0 c			55	21.8%	10:	4	14	85	16.5%
TITLE	S CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR		4	09	6.7%	က	1	4	106	3.8%
וואאנוני	Q	þ	110	67	16.4%	12	1	16	106	15.7%